Original Paper

Derivative-Based Analysis of Institutional Quality, Resource

Abundance, and Economic Growth Dynamics

Abdulgaffar Muhammad¹, Edirin Jeroh², Anthony Unyime Abasido³, Maryam Isyaku⁴ & Anthony Kolade Adesugba⁵

¹ Department of Business Administration, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

² Department of Accounting, Delta State University, Abraka

³ Department of Business Administration and Management, Federal Polytechnic, Daura

⁴ Department of Business Administration and Entrprenurship, Bayero University, Kano

⁵ Department of Business Administration, Ahmadu Bello University

Received: December 12, 2023Accepted: December 19, 2023 Online Published: December 29, 2023doi:10.22158/eprd.v4n2p1URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.22158/eprd.v4n2p1

Abstract

This paper delves into the intricate nexus between institutional quality, natural resource abundance, and economic growth through derivative-based mathematical analysis. Utilizing a framework represented by $G=R^{a}*Q^{\beta}$, the study explores how institutional dynamics sculpt growth trajectories. The first derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ delineates economic growth's sensitivity to institutional changes, while the second derivative $\frac{d^{2}G}{dQ^{2}}$ unveils curvature in growth dynamics. The synthesis of findings illuminates resonance or hindrances in growth due to institutional shifts. Theoretical insights highlight the

transformative role of robust institutions in mitigating the resource curse. Policy implications emphasize resource revenue diversification, institutional fortification, human capital investment, environmental sustainability, and long-term vision. Limitations acknowledge the model's simplification and call for expanded dimensions and empirical validation. This derivative-based approach, while potent, prompts further refinement for a comprehensive understanding of economic complexities.

Keywords

Institutional Quality, Economic Growth, Resource Curse, Derivative Analysis, Policy Implications, Mathematical Modeling

1. Introduction

The intricate relationship between natural resource abundance and economic growth has sparked extensive research and debate. The phenomenon known as the resource curse, or the "paradox of plenty", refers to the puzzling inability of nations rich in natural resources to achieve sustained economic prosperity. This concept, introduced by Richard Auty, has captivated economists and policymakers seeking to comprehend why some resource-abundant countries remain mired in underdevelopment and instability (Auty, 1993). It highlights that rather than catalyzing economic growth, an abundance of natural resources often leads to adverse outcomes such as corruption, rent-seeking behavior, and distorted economic structures. Factors contributing to this curse range from volatile commodity prices to over-dependence on a single sector and the "Dutch disease" (Sachs & Warner, 2001; Karl, 1997).

Contrastingly, the concept of resource blessing offers the potential for nations to leverage their natural resources as a foundation for sustainable economic growth. It suggests that, under appropriate conditions and policies, nations can escape the resource curse and utilize their resource wealth to foster development, diversify economies, and improve citizen well-being (Ross, 1999).

The significance of understanding these concepts has grown notably in recent times. The global financial crisis triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in economics heavily reliant on resource exports, highlighting the need for diversified and resilient economic structures. Additionally, mounting environmental concerns emphasize responsible resource extraction and management for long-term sustainability (Arezki & van der Ploeg, 2007).

Recognition of these concepts, their underlying mechanisms, and pathways for transition from the resource curse to resource blessing forms the foundation for the subsequent mathematical derivative-based analysis. This investigation aims to provide a theoretical perspective on the role of institutional factors in mitigating the resource curse, offering insights into leveraging natural resource wealth for sustainable economic growth.

2. Theoretical Framework: Modeling the Resource Curse Transition

Derivation of the Equation Representing the Multifaceted Nexus of Resource Revenue, Institutional Quality, and Economic Growth.

Within the labyrinth of economic dynamics lies a symphony conducted by the equation $G = R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$, an amalgamation that encapsulates the delicate interactions between economic growth (G), natural resource revenue (*R*), and institutional quality (*Q*). To decipher the intricate relationships and unveil the arcane connections encoded within this equation demands a rigorous journey through the realm of calculus and coefficients, an expedition that reveals the profound nuances of growth dynamics.

Let us embark on this odyssey by laying the foundational groundwork: economic growth (G) emerges as a product of natural resource revenue (R) and institutional quality (Q), initially expressed as $G = Rx \cdot Qy$, where x and y are enigmatic entities dictating the magnitude and form of this relationship.

To elucidate the explicit influence of natural resource revenue (*R*) on economic growth (*G*), we invoke the coefficient $\alpha.\alpha$ embodies the essence of R's impact on G, transforming our equation into $G=R^{\alpha} \cdot Qy$. This metamorphosis unveils the profound relationship between R and G under the guiding influence of α .

Concurrently, the emergence of the coefficient β delineates the role of institutional quality (Q). Like a compass navigating uncharted waters, β steers the influence of Q on G. Thus, the equation metamorphoses into its definitive form: $G = R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$, orchestrated by the symphony of coefficients α and β , intricately conducting the harmony and discord within this complex relationship.

Venturing deeper into the realm of calculus, we employ logarithmic transformations to facilitate differentiation. Taking the natural logarithm of both sides, $\ln(G)=\alpha \cdot \ln(R)+\beta \cdot \ln(Q)$, we unveil a transformed expression simplifying the differentiation process.

Differentiating the logarithmic expression with respect to Q unveils $\frac{d \ln(G)}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \ln(Q)$, revealing the

magnitude of Q's impact on $\ln(G)$ guided by β . Similarly, differentiation with respect to R leads to $\frac{d \ln(G)}{dR} = \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{R}$, portraying R's influence on $\ln(G)$ governed by α .

The intricate calculus maneuvers unravel the essence of resource-driven growth and the transformative role of institutional robustness. These derivations, interwoven within the narrative, offer a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between coefficients, variables, and the intricate dynamics shaping economic growth.

Aligned with the scholarly insights of Acemoglu & Robinson (2005) and Romer (1990), this extensive mathematical derivation serves as a beacon illuminating a roadmap from the clutches of the resource curse to the dawn of the resource blessing. Through the lens of calculus and coefficients, this endeavor embodies a profound synthesis of economic theory and mathematical rigor, enriching our comprehension of the intricate connections governing economic systems.

3. Analyzing the Impact of Institutional Quality: Derivatives and Insights

Analyzing the Impact of Institutional Quality: Derivatives and Insights

Embarking on a profound mathematical voyage, we navigate the intricate calculus of economic growth's response to the transformative winds of institutional quality. Our expedition unfolds the complexities held within the first derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$, offering insights into the nuanced interplay between institutional enhancements and economic prosperity.

Consider the foundational equation of economic growth $G=R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$ as a canvas painted with the hues of resource revenue (R) and institutional quality (Q). Within this canvas lies the derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$, an enigmatic symbol encapsulating the responsiveness of economic growth to the rhythm of institutional quality changes.

The derivative's interpretation goes beyond its computation; it's a conduit to fathom the intricate dance between institutional changes and economic growth. Let's begin with the formal derivation of $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ to shed light on this complex interaction:

Starting with the equation $G = R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$, take the logarithm of both sides: $ln(G) = \alpha \cdot ln(R) + \beta \cdot ln(Q)$ Now, differentiate both sides with respect to $Q: \frac{d \ln(G)}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{1}{Q}$

Since $\frac{d \ln(G)}{dQ} = \frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{dG}{dQ}$, we get: $\frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{dG}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{1}{Q}$

Thus, the derivative becomes: $\frac{dG}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q}$

The intricacies encapsulated within this derivative delineate the pace at which economic growth responds to changes in institutional quality. Its positive orientation signifies a synchronous dance where better institutions catalyze economic expansion, enhancing growth rates (Jones, 2002; Rodrik, 2007). Now, let's explore the interpretation of this derivative's value in terms of institutional quality's effect on the rate of economic growth:

The derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ refracts the economy's sensitivity to institutional quality. A higher positive value intimates an economy exquisitely responsive to institutional improvements, translating each stride toward improved institutions into exponential growth benefits (Jones, 2002; Rodrik, 2007).

Conversely, a negative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ casts a shadow over economic prospects, indicating a decline in growth rates due to subpar institutional frameworks (North, 1990; Acemoglu & Johnson, 2005).

growth constriction.

In the language of calculus, the derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ is a narrator, recounting the impact of institutions on economic growth's rhythm. Its sign and magnitude encapsulate an economy's readiness for institutional reforms, hinting at the potential for exponential growth amplification or the specter of

Now, let's delve into the implications when $\beta > 1$ or $\beta < 1$:

Within the equation $G = R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$, the distinct values of β —either greater or less than unity—usher in profound implications that reconfigure the landscape of growth dynamics (Jones, 2002; Rodrik, 2007).

In the realm where $\beta > 1$, consider the derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ as: $\frac{dG}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q}$

Visualize a scenario where β surpasses unity, signifying a potent influence of institutional quality on growth. Here, improvements in institutions unleash an exponential effect on economic prosperity.

Now, contemplating the $\beta < 1$ scenario, the derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ becomes: $\frac{dG}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q}$

In this domain, institutional quality's sway over growth is subdued. While an increase in institutional quality yields growth benefits, the impact is proportionately milder.

In both scenarios, the value of β acts as a magnifying glass—either amplifying or tempering the effect of institutional quality. By understanding the implications of $\beta > 1$ or $\beta < 1$, policymakers can chart trajectories that align with their nations' institutional landscapes.

Curvature of Economic Growth: Second Derivative Analysis

Introduction to the Second Derivative of Economic Growth with Respect to Institutional Quality

Venturing deeper into the realm of economic calculus, we turn our analytical gaze toward the formidable second derivative $\beta \cdot \frac{d^2 G}{dQ^2}$. This derivative unravels the curvature, acceleration, and inflection points that depict the nuanced interplay between institutional quality and economic growth dynamics (Jones, 2002; Rodrik, 2007; Acemoglu & Johnson, 2005). The foundational equation $G = R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$ is not merely static but a living entity where institutional quality (Q) molds the contours of economic growth (G). The second derivative $\frac{d^2 G}{dQ^2}$ encapsulates the intricate dance of how the rate of change of $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ evolves—a narrative that unveils the responsiveness of growth

to variations in the pace of institutional modifications.

3.3 Derivation and Analysis of the Second Derivative

Consider the first derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ} = \beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q}$ derived previously. Now, to obtain the second derivative $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$,

we proceed with the calculus of differentiation:

$$\frac{d^2 G}{dQ^2} = \frac{d}{dQ} \left(\beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q}\right)$$
$$\frac{d^2 G}{dQ^2} = \beta \cdot \frac{d}{dQ} \left(\frac{G}{Q}\right)$$
$$\frac{d^2 G}{dQ^2} = \beta \cdot \frac{1}{Q^2} \cdot \frac{dG}{dQ} - \beta \cdot \frac{G}{Q^3}$$

Analyzing the resulting expression of the second derivative brings forth the intricacies of curvature within the growth function.

Positive Curvature and Accelerated Growth Acceleration

A positive $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$ signifies a landscape of acceleration within the growth curve. This scenario portrays an economy accelerating at an accelerating rate, analogous to a vehicle gaining speed downhill. Such acceleration of acceleration implies sustained and amplified positive impacts of institutional enhancements on economic expansion, magnifying the compounding effects (Jones, 2002; Rodrik, 2007).

Negative Curvature and Diminishing Acceleration

Conversely, a negative $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$ unveils a narrative of growth deceleration acceleration. Similar to a

vehicle approaching a plateau, the economy accelerates, yet at a diminishing rate. This configuration suggests that while improvements in institutional quality yield positive growth effects, the incremental benefits taper off, reflecting diminishing returns (Rodrik, 2007; Acemoglu & Johnson, 2005).

The second derivative's sign and magnitude offer a vantage point to discern whether institutional shifts trigger cascading acceleration or if growth's upward trajectory begins to level off.

How the Second Derivative Indicates the Curvature of the Growth Function

The second derivative $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$ illuminates the curvature inherent in the intricate dance between institutional quality (Q) and economic growth (G). This derivative materializes as the brushstroke that adds dimensions to the abstract landscape of growth's acceleration.

Discussion of How the Curvature Influences the Relationship between Institutional Quality and Economic Growth Acceleration

The curvature modulates the relationship between institutional quality (Q) and the velocity of economic growth (G) with heightened intricacy and nuance. Positive curvature fosters accelerated growth,

transforming incremental institutional enhancements into an exponentiating engine of growth acceleration. Negative curvature signals a diminishing momentum in growth's responsiveness to institutional improvements, reflecting a receding acceleration despite sustained institutional adaptations.

This analytical insight equips policymakers with a granular compass for navigating the complex landscape of institutional reforms, facilitating strategies tailored to harness the transformative potential inherent in institutional mutations or mature institutional configurations.

4. Theoretical Insights and Policy Implications

Synthesis of Findings from the Derivative-Based Analysis

The intricate relationship between institutional quality (Q) and economic growth (G), explored through derivative-based analysis within the framework of $G=R^{\alpha} \cdot Q^{\beta}$, offers profound insights interwoven with equations that resonate with economic phenomena.

Embarking on this mathematical journey through derivatives unfolds a narrative that intertwines institutional dynamics with growth trajectories. From the inaugural derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ to the second

derivative $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$, each mathematical artifact adds a layer of depth to our comprehension.

The first derivative $\frac{dG}{dQ}$ quantifies the pace at which economic growth responds to variations in institutional quality. In positivity, it signifies resonance, where elevated institutions foster escalated growth. In contrast, negativity signifies institutional hindrances dampening economic progress.

Transitioning into the domain of the second derivative $\frac{d^2G}{dQ^2}$, the focus shifts to curvature, molding growth's response to evolving institutional landscapes. Positive curvature depicts an accelerating rhythm of growth acceleration, while negative curvature portrays deceleration within acceleration.

This synthesis melds references from Arrow (1962), Romer (1986), and Lucas (1988) into a harmonious ode, illustrating the analytical potency of mathematics in decoding economic dynamics. The derivative-based odyssey stands testament to this fusion of mathematical abstractions and economic realities, guiding us to delve deeper into the heart of economic phenomena.

Theoretical Insights into the Role of Institutional Quality in Mitigating the Resource Curse

The interplay between institutional quality and the resource curse extends beyond empirical realities to a theoretical vista. Institutional quality becomes a fulcrum capable of reshaping the trajectory of resource-rich economies.

At its core, the resource curse encapsulates the paradox of resource-rich nations experiencing faltered economic growth. Robust institutions can effectively manage resource revenue, channeling it toward diversified investments, human capital enhancement, and innovation. Strong institutions steer nations

away from the pitfalls of resource dependency.

Weak institutions exacerbate the curse by nurturing corruption, misallocation of resources, and rent-seeking behavior. They amplify volatility, hinder economic diversification, and undermine vital sector development, perpetuating the curse's grip.

Effective institutions not only craft sound policies but ensure their implementation, mitigating the curse by resisting the corrosive influences of resources.

Discussion of Potential Policy Directions Based on the Mathematical Model's Predictions

The mathematical model offers pragmatic strategies for navigating resource endowment complexities. Resource revenue diversification, institutional reinforcement, human capital investment, and environmental sustainability emerge as pivotal strategies, aligning with the model's propositions. These strategies need harmonization with local contexts and societal aspirations.

5. Limitations of the Derivative-Based Approach and Directions for Future Research

While powerful, the derivative-based approach encapsulates simplified representations of real-world complexities. Economic ecosystems are multifaceted, influenced by diverse exogenous factors not fully captured by derivatives.

Specific assumptions about relationships' functional forms might not universally represent diverse scenarios. Causality and directionality can sometimes remain enigmatic, necessitating careful interpretation.

Future directions involve expanding models to higher dimensions, integrating external factors, empirical validation, and refinement to ground mathematical abstractions in real-world scenarios.

In essence, while foundational, the derivative-based approach invites further refinement and extension, offering a portal for deeper inquiry into resource dynamics, institutional metamorphosis, and economic growth.

References

- Acemoglu, D., & Johnson, S. (2005). Unbundling institutions. *Journal of Political Economy*, 113(5), 949-995. https://doi.org/10.1086/432166
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2000). Why did the west extend the franchise? Democracy, inequality, and growth in historical perspective. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(4), 1167-1199. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355300555042
- Arezki, R., & van der Ploeg, F. (2007). Can the natural resource curse be turned into a blessing? The role of trade policies and institutions. *IMF Working Papers*, 1-36. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451866193.001
- Arrow, K. J. (1962). The economic implications of learning by doing. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 29(3), 155-173. https://doi.org/10.2307/2295952

- Auty, R. M. (1993). Sustaining development in mineral economies: The resource curse thesis. Routledge.
- Barro, R. J. (1991). Economic growth in a cross section of countries. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106(2), 407-443. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937943
- Guerrieri, V., & Iacoviello, M. (2015). OccBin: A toolkit for solving dynamic models with occasionally binding constraints easily. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 70, 22-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2014.08.005
- Jones, C. I. (2002). Sources of US economic growth in a world of ideas. *American Economic Review*, 92(1), 220-239. https://doi.org/10.1257/000282802760015685
- Karl, T. L. (1997). The paradox of plenty: Oil booms and petro-states. University of California Press. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520918696
- Lucas, R. E. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 22(1), 3-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(88)90168-7
- North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press. https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674369542
- Rodrik, D. (2007). One economics, many recipes: Globalization, institutions, and economic growth. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400829354
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5), S71-S102. https://doi.org/10.1086/261725
- Ross, M. L. (1999). The political economy of the resource curse. *World Politics*, 51(2), 297-322. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100008200
- Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (2001). The curse of natural resources. *European Economic Review*, 45(4-6), 827-838. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(01)00125-8