Original Paper

Need for Aged Care Hubs

Ravi Babu Koppala^{1*}, Sherry P Mathew² & Seema Ramesh Chawan³

¹ Professor & Head, Department of Hospital Administration, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bengaluru, India

² Assistant Professor, Department of Hospital Administration, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bengaluru, India

³ Department of Hospital Administration, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bengaluru, India

* Ravi Babu Koppala, #82, Vijyanagar, EPIP zone, Whitefield, Bengaluru - 560066, Karnataka, India

Received: May 23, 2020 Accepted: June 1, 2020 Online Published: June 22, 2020

Abstract

Background: The present study was undertaken to access the current availability and utilization of health care services by geriatric population and to find the need for specialized geriatric care hubs.

Methods: This is questionnaire-based survey conducted among 300 subjects of geriatric population for a period of 3 months in Bengaluru hospital set-up.

Results: Majority of study subjects were belonged to age group of 60-75 years. 81% were married; while 1.30% un-married, 2.30% divorced, 15.30% were widow. Majority of study subjects in our study, i.e., 30.30% were graduates followed by 28.70% and 15.70% were completed secondary and primary school level education respectively. While only 7.70% subjects were post graduates. However, 17.70% subjects were found to be illiterate in our study. We found 52% of study subjects were dependent for their financial requirements. Chi-square test showed significant association between health and medical care facilities with age (χ 2-31.24; p-0.002). 98% preferred to have separate specialized geriatric care hospitals in their respective area of residence with no significant association (χ 2=5.20; p-0.51). We found significant association between source of information and awareness of geriatric care facilities (χ 2=18.96; p-0.026). We found that 36% of subjects reckoned that application of information technology was helpful in geriatric care. Whereas, still majority of study subjects, i.e., 61% were not at all aware of information technology applications in geriatric (χ 2-12.62; p-0.049).

Conclusions: Provision of quality assured by elderly health-care hub for the elderly population is a must and is a challenge that requires joint approach and strategies. Failure to address the health needs today could develop into a costly problem tomorrow.

Keywords

Health care, age care, elderly, geriatric care hub, India

1. Introduction

Ageing is a natural process, which presents a unique challenge for all sections of the society. Although the exact definition of elderly age group is controversial, it is defined as persons with a chronological age of 65 years and above (WHO, 2013). With gradual improvement in health-care delivery services, life expectancy has increased and thus the percentage of the elderly population (WHO, 2013). It has been estimated that according to Population Census 2011 there are nearly 104 million elderly persons (aged 60 years or above) in India; 53 million females and 51 million males. "India Ageing Report 2017" by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) says the share of population over the age of 60 could increase from 8 per cent in 2015 to 19 per cent in 2050 (Dey, 2012).

Population ageing is the most significant result of the process known as demographic transition (Bongaarts, 2009). This demographic transition essentially requires shifting the global focus to cater to the preventive health-care and medical needs of the elderly population. An ageing population tends to have a higher prevalence of chronic diseases, physical disabilities, mental illnesses and other co-morbidities (Boutayeb et al., 2005). The health needs and health related problems of elderly people cannot be viewed in isolation. A wide gamut of determinants such as social concerns (viz. children moving out of their parents' home in search of occupation, leaving them isolated without any physical support in daily activities), maltreatment towards elderly, poor knowledge and awareness about the risk factors, food and nutritional requirements, psycho-emotional concerns (viz. isolation, mental stress, difficulty in keeping themselves occupied), financial constraints (viz. definite reduction in income upon retirement, to the extent that it may interfere with bare needs of life as adequate nutrition, clothing and shelter), health-care system factors (viz. most countries lack effective health insurance system for elderly coupled with accessibility concerns and inadequacies in the government health-care system), and physical correlates, determine the medical problems and thus cast a significant impact on the quality-of-life of the elderly (Song, 2013; WHO, 2011; WHO, 2013; WHO Press, 2003; Braz, 2012). The age care sector worldwide is undergoing significant growth and change. These changes are placing increased pressure on the workforce to not only meet the growing numbers of aged care recipients, but to have the specialized skills needed to meet the diversity of service needs. In India, as in most parts of the world, the increasing number of aged persons and the proportion of the population represented by aged persons is a cause of concern that will require changes in many areas of life, including aged care. The health care needs of the aged are also changing everywhere. More aged persons have chronic diseases needing regular supervision and management, and there are more aged persons with dementia. We must anticipate elderly populations seeking more health care at a time when families and authorities are less able to afford health care (Wysocki, 2015).

The aged care will be a cross-sector collaborative that connects companies, organizations and agencies

to develop shared frameworks that drive innovation and enhance product and service delivery to the aging population and their caregivers. The elderly care hub harnesses the skills, expertise and networks of its member stakeholders to accelerate improvements in the quality of life for the aging population. The rapid urbanization and societal modernization have also brought in its wake a breakdown in family values and the framework of family support, resulting in economic insecurity, social isolation, and elderly abuse leading to a host of psychological illnesses. This demands a timely initiative in this direction and emerges as a challenge and major responsibility of health care providers in India. In addition, Indian elderly face several social issues such as loneliness, elder abuse, neglect, lack of income security, and poor access to health care. We also have lack of policies on advanced directive, palliative care, and end-of-life care for the elderly as well as lack of data on the spiritual health of older people. With this scenario, the present questionnaire based survey study aimed to draw attention on India's experience to propose the need of aged care hub, through which the solutions to a multitude of issues across many fields raised from this situation could be offered, and with such complexity affecting so many, it will take a truly innovative approach to address geriatric care.

2. Methods

A structured format survey was conducted using questionnaire among the geriatric population consisting of voluntary consent of 300 subjects to participate in survey for a period of 3 months in Bengaluru hospital set-up. All the study subjects were supposed to fill a questionnaire proforma designed for the study. A geriatric record was prepared, which contains 13 questions related to age, marital status, education, sources of income, financial dependency, healthcare expenses, health insurance schemes coverage, healthcare centres they go for the treatment of common ailments / chronic problem, about the kind of health and medical facilities available in their area, preferences for separate geriatric clinic and the type of aged care they expect, priorities in specialised geriatric care facility, mode of information from which they hear to go to hospital for health check-up, reasons to postpone to go to hospital for health check-up, role and awareness of application of information technology for the benefits of geriatric care was noted. The answers to the questionnaire were analysed and interpreted. All the data were noted on the proforma and analyzed using Microsoft excel sheet and SPSS software Version 17 for chi-square analysis. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

The results of socio-demographic background of subjects in the present questionnaire survey depicted that 105/300 subjects, i.e., 35% belonged to age group of 60-65% followed by 97/300 (32.30%) in 66-70 years, 70/300 (23.30%) in 70-75 years and 28/300, i.e., 9.30% subjects were aged more than 75 years. With regards to marital status of subject's majority, i.e., 81% (243/300) were married followed by 1.30%, 2.30% and 15.30% were found to be un-married, divorced and widow respectively. Majority of study subjects, i.e., 91/300 (30.30%) were graduates followed by 86/300 (28.70%) and 47/300

(15.70%) were completed secondary and primary school level education respectively. Only 7.70% (23/300) subjects were post graduates. However, 53/300 (17.70%) subjects were found to illiterate. Out of 300 study subjects 155 subjects, i.e., 51.70% were dependent for their financial requirements; while 48.30%, i.e., 145/300 were not dependent for their financial requirements. Table 1 showed remittance from children is the source of income of majority of subjects, i.e., 84/300 (28%) followed by interests on savings & FDs, pension and house rent as source of income of 17.30%, 15.70% and house rent / business respectively. 52/300 (17.30%) subjects have not source of income while 9% (27/300) of subjects have other source of income.

Table 1. Distribution of Subjects Based on Sociodemographic Background

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| Age (years) | | |
| 60-65 | 105 | 35.00 |
| 66-70 | 97 | 32.30 |
| 70-75 | 70 | 23.30 |
| > 75 | 28 | 9.30 |
| Marital status | | |
| Married | 243 | 81.00 |
| Un-married | 4 | 1.30 |
| Divorced | 7 | 2.30 |
| Widow | 46 | 15.30 |
| Education | | |
| Illiterate | 53 | 17.70 |
| Primary | 47 | 15.70 |
| Secondary | 86 | 28.70 |
| Graduate | 91 | 30.30 |
| Professional / Post graduate and above | 23 | 7.70 |
| Source of Income | | |
| Pension | 47 | 15.70 |
| House rent / Business | 38 | 12.70 |
| Remittance from children's | 84 | 28.00 |
| Interests on savings and fixed deposits | 52 | 17.30 |
| No income | 52 | 17.30 |
| Others | 27 | 9.00 |
| Financial Requirements Dependency | | |
| No | 145 | 48.30 |
| Yes | 155 | 51.70 |

The health care expense of 147 out 300 subjects, i.e., 49% was beared by family members; while 38.30% (115/300) subjects were managed their health care expenses from their own savings / income. Health insurance (8%) and hand loan (4%) were the other schemes of subjects dependent for their health care expenses in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of Subjects Based on Health Care Expenses

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Own savings / income | 115 | 38.30 |
| Health insurance | 24 | 8.00 |
| Hand loan from someone | 12 | 4.00 |
| By family members | 147 | 49.00 |
| Others | 2 | 0.60 |

Majority of study subjects, i.e., 245/300 (81.70%) were covered under health insurance. Whereas, still 18% of study subjects, i.e., 54/300 were not covered under health insurance in Table 3.

Table 3. Distribution of Subjects Covered under Health Insurance

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| Yes | 54 | 18.00 |
| No | 245 | 81.70 |
| Others | 1 | 0.30 |

The present questionnaire-based survey revealed that, 41% and 54% & 46% of study subjects visit public hospitals / private clinics for medical assistance of their common and chronic ailments respectively. Majority of study subjects, i.e., 56% and 38% revealed the availability of public hospitals and private clinics in their respective area of residence. Chi-square test showed significant association between health and medical care facilities with age (χ^2 =31.24; p-0.002) in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Health Care Centres for Ailments

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| For common ailments | | |
| Public hospitals | 125 | 41.70 |
| RMP | 4 | 1.30 |
| Private doctor / clinic | 124 | 41.30 |
| Chemists / Pharmacy | 45 | 15.00 |
| Others | 2 | 0.70 |

| For chronic ailments (Q9) | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Public hospitals | 161 | 53.70 |
| RMP | 2 | 0.70 |
| Private doctor / clinic | 137 | 45.70 |
| Availability of health and medical facilities in their area | | |
| Public hospitals | 167 | 55.70 |
| RMP | 2 | 0.70 |
| Private doctor / clinic | 114 | 38.00 |
| Chemists / Pharmacy | 16 | 5.30 |
| Others | 1 | 0.30 |

Table 5. Kind of Health and Medical Facilities are Available in the Area

| | | Age (Years) | | | - Total | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | | 60 to 65 | 66 to 70 | 70 to 75 | 75 and above | - 10tai |
| Dublic beauted | Count | 58.00 | 42.00 | 47.00 | 20.00 | 167.00 |
| Public hospital | % | 55.20 | 43.30 | 67.10 | 71.40 | 55.70 |
| RMP | Count | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| KWIP | % | 0.00 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| Private doctor or clinic | Count | 41.00 | 49.00 | 20.00 | 4.00 | 114.00 |
| Frivate doctor or clinic | % | 39.00 | 50.50 | 28.60 | 14.30 | 38.00 |
| Duivata hagnital | Count | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 16.00 |
| Private hospital | % | 5.70 | 4.10 | 4.30 | 10.70 | 5.30 |
| Othora | Count | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Others | % | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 0.30 |
| Total | Count | 105.00 | 97.00 | 70.00 | 28.00 | 300.00 |
| Total | % | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Chi-square value- 31.24, P value- 0.002*, *significant

However, Majority of study subjects, i.e., 294/300 (98%) preferred to have separate specialized geriatric care hospitals in their respective area of residence; However, Chi-square test showed no significant association (χ^2 =5.20; p-0.51) in Tables 6 and 7.

Table 6. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Preference and Type of Separate Geriatric Clinic

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Preference | | |
| Yes | 294 | 98.00 |
| No | 5 | 1.70 |
| Others | 1 | 0.30 |
| Type of geriatric clinic | | |
| Standalone geriatric clinic (GC) | 36 | 12.0 |
| Day care facility | 66 | 22.0 |
| Community attached with GC | 52 | 17.3 |
| Specialized geriatric care hospital | 106 | 35.3 |
| Medical college with GC service | 34 | 11.3 |
| Others | 6 | 2.0 |

Table 7. Preference on Having Separate Geriatric Clinic for the Benefit of Aged People

| | | Age (Years) | | | | – Total |
|-------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | | 60 to 65 | 66 to 70 | 70 to 75 | 75 and above | 10tai |
| Yes | Count | 105.00 | 94.00 | 68.00 | 27.00 | 294.00 |
| ies | % | 100.00 | 96.90 | 97.10 | 96.40 | 98.00 |
| No | Count | 0.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 6.00 |
| NU | % | 0.00 | 3.10 | 2.90 | 3.60 | 2.00 |
| Total | Count | 105.00 | 97.00 | 70.00 | 28.00 | 300.00 |
| | % | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Chi-square value- 5.20, P value- 0.51

It was evident from the present questionnaire-based survey that 50.7% (152/300) subjects have awared of geriatric care centres through their relatives/friends, followed by 26%, 13% and 10% awared through advertisement, family doctor and through internet sources respectively. Chi-square test showed significant association (χ^2 =18.96; p-0.026) in Tables 8 and 9.

Table 8. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Medial of Awareness about Health Care Centres

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Through relatives/friends | 152 | 50.7 |
| Through internet | 31 | 10.3 |
| Through advertisement | 78 | 26.0 |
| Through family doctor | 39 | 13.0 |

Table 9. If you Want to Go to Hospital for Health Check. How would you Like to Know the Same?

| | | Age (Years) | | | - Total | |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|--------|
| | | 60 to 65 | 66 to 70 | 70 to 75 | 75 and above | Total |
| Relatives/friends | Count | 54.00 | 40.00 | 41.00 | 17.00 | 152.00 |
| Relatives/Irienus | % | 51.40 | 41.20 | 58.60 | 60.70 | 50.70 |
| Intomot | Count | 11.00 | 8.00 | 11.00 | 1.00 | 31.00 |
| Internet | % | 10.50 | 8.20 | 15.70 | 3.60 | 10.30 |
| Advertisement | Count | 30.00 | 35.00 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 78.00 |
| Auverusement | % | 28.60 | 36.10 | 11.40 | 17.90 | 26.00 |
| Family destar | Count | 10.00 | 14.00 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 39.00 |
| Family doctor | % | 9.50 | 14.40 | 14.30 | 17.90 | 13.00 |
| Total | Count | 105.00 | 97.00 | 70.00 | 28.00 | 300.00 |
| | % | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Chi-square value- 18.96, P value- 0.026*, *significant

Furthermore, 75% of subjects reckoned affordable services was the preferences to visit specialized geriatric care for the treatment of their ailments followed by 64%, 59%, 41% and 31% have addressing psychological and emotional support, accessibility of facility, qualified staff in geriatric care, and provision of service on priority were the preferences respectively in Table 10.

Table 10. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Priority of Specialized Geriatric Care Facility

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| Accessibility of facility | 177 | 59.00 |
| Affordable services | 225 | 75.00 |
| Provision of service on priority | 92 | 30.70 |
| Specialized staff qualified in geriatric care | 123 | 41.00 |
| Addressing psychological and emotional support | 192 | 64.00 |

Eighty-eight (88%) of study subjects postpone their health check-up due to affordability/financial issues followed by 72%, 67%, 57%, and 47% postpone due to fear of diagnosis of more diseases, accessibility of hospital, fear of advice of admission and lack of attendant/family support respectively in Table 11.

Table 11. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Reasons for Postpone Health Check

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Affordability /financial issue | 263 | 87.70 |
| Lack of attender/family support | 141 | 47.00 |
| Accessibility of hospital | 201 | 67.00 |
| Fear of advice of admission | 170 | 56.70 |
| Fear of diagnosis of more diseases | 217 | 72.30 |

36% of subjects (108/300) reckoned that application of information technology was helpful in geriatric care while negligible percentage of subjects, i.e., 3.3% (10/300) reckoned not helpful. Whereas, still majority of study subjects, i.e., 61% (182(300) were not at all aware of information technology applications in geriatric (χ^2 =12.62; p=0.049) in Tables 12 and 13.

Table 12. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Awareness of Information Technology

| Variables | Frequency (n=300) | Percentage |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| Yes | 108 | 36.0 |
| No | 10 | 3.3 |
| Not aware | 182 | 60.7 |

Table 13. Benefits of Current Application of Information Technology on Geriatric Care

| | | Age (Years) | | | Total | |
|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | | 60 to 65 | 66 to 70 | 70 to 75 | 75 and above | – Total |
| Yes | Count | 40.00 | 34.00 | 26.00 | 8.00 | 108.00 |
| | % | 38.10 | 35.10 | 37.10 | 28.60 | 36.00 |
| No | Count | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 |
| | % | 2.90 | 1.00 | 2.90 | 14.30 | 3.30 |
| Not aware | Count | 62.00 | 62.00 | 42.00 | 16.00 | 182.00 |
| | % | 59.00 | 63.90 | 60.00 | 57.10 | 60.70 |
| Total | Count | 105.00 | 97.00 | 70.00 | 28.00 | 300.00 |
| | % | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Chi-square value- 12.62, P value- 0.049*, *significant

With regards to type of information technology, 37% aware of telemedicine facility, followed by 12%, 3.7%, and 2.7% of subjects aware of safety and security monitoring, medication management, telemonitoring & equipment compensating the loss of function facilities respectively; while rest of study subjects, i.e., 88%, 97%, 96%, 88% and 97% were not aware of telemedicine, tele-monitoring, medication management, safety & security monitoring, and equipment compensating the loss of function facilities in Table 14.

Table 14. Distribution of Subjects Based on Their Awareness on Type of Information Technology

| Variables | Aware | | Not-aware | |
|---|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| | (n=300) | | (n=300) | |
| Telemedicine | 37 | 12.30 | 263 | 87.70 |
| Tele-monitoring | 8 | 2.70 | 292 | 97.30 |
| Medication management | 11 | 3.70 | 289 | 96.30 |
| Safety and security monitoring | 36 | 12.00 | 264 | 88.00 |
| Equipment compensating the loss of function | 8 | 2.70 | 292 | 97.30 |

4. Discussion

Old age care hub is a necessity in the present-day scenario as the younger generation do not have the time or in many cases the resources to meet their needs (like medical expenses, special food, etc.). But old age care hub should be considered only as a secondary option. Elders in the family are definitely an asset. It is they who can impart the much needed ethical values and code of conduct in the younger generation. Old age hub as an option should be considered only for the betterment of the senior citizens by way of better physical and mental status, greater possibility for social bonding, etc. Hence the present questionnaire survey-based study was conducted with the main purpose of drawing attention on India's experience to propose the need of aged care hub, and through which the solutions to a multitude of issues across many fields raised from this situation could be offered.

The socio-demographic background of subjects in the present questionnaire survey depicted that majority of study subjects were belonged to age group of 60-75 years. These findings were in concurrence with Woo et al. (1994) wherein authors have revealed that there is a need for long term institutional care for elderly population. 81% were married; while 1.30% un-married, 2.30% divorced, 15.30% were widow. These findings depicted the fact that elderly women were also the majority of participants in our study, due to their greater life expectancy. Another possible explanation is that widowed men usually find another partner, especially in advanced age (Baldin et al., 2008). Most women, once they became widowed, live by themselves, as seen in a study conducted in Korean communities, which reported 10 widowed men and 87 widowed women out of 97 elderly individuals

living in 32 rural communities. The death of their husbands was the reason these women lived alone, which is a phenomenon with characteristics common to other communities from different countries (Ain et al., 2004).

Majority of study subjects in our study, i.e., 30.30% were graduates followed by 28.70% and 15.70% were completed secondary and primary school level education respectively. While only 7.70% subjects were post graduates. However, 17.70% subjects were found to be illiterate in our study. These findings delineated the fact that how low levels of education influence the lives of elderly individuals at this point of life and why so many public initiatives and non-governmental actions are designed to encourage literacy and the continuing education of adults and elderly individuals Education influences social and economic life and also the search for health services (Inouye et al., 2007). Furthermore, data from the National Household Sample Survey (PNAD) reveal that 9.4% of 60 to 64 years old Brazilian individuals are illiterate and this percentage reaches 29.4% of those 65 years old or older (Indicators S, 2009).

We found 52% of study subjects were dependent for their financial requirements; while 48% were not dependent for their financial requirements. Remittance from children is the source of income of majority of subjects, i.e., 28% followed by interests on savings & FDs, pension and house rent as source of income of 17.30%, 15.70% and house rent / business respectively. Whereas, 17.30% subjects have not source of income. Furthermore, it was found that majority of study subjects, i.e., 81.70% were covered under health insurance. Whereas, still 18% of study subjects were not covered under health insurance. These findings vary slightly from the nationally representative National Health Accounts 2013-2014, according to which 69.1% in the country paid for healthcare through out of pocket expenditure whereas 23.3% had utilized government insurance schemes and 3.7% have private insurance (NHA) Various research studies indicated that financial, health, and health insurance literacy are loosely intertwined and especially impactful in terms of later-life decision-making (James, 2012; James, 2014). Among older adults, low financial and health literacy are correlated; both are associated with similar characteristics and outcomes (James, 2012; Lusardi, 2008).

The present questionnaire-based survey revealed that, 41% and 54% & 46% of study subjects visit public hospitals / private clinics for medical assistance of their common and chronic ailments respectively. Moreover, majority of study subjects, i.e., 56% and 38% revealed the availability of public hospitals and private clinics in their respective area of residence. Chi-square test showed significant association between health and medical care facilities with age (χ^2 -31.24; p-0.002). These findings were in contrast with the available literature reports since current public-health approaches to population ageing have clearly been ineffective. The health of older people is not keeping up with increasing longevity; (Crimmins, 2011; Chatterji, 2015) marked health inequities are apparent in the health status of older people; current health systems are poorly aligned to the care that older populations require even in high income countries (Overview, 2011; UN, 2015; Goodwin, 2013; Patterson, 2014; Smith, 2012). However, majority of study subjects, i.e., 98% preferred to have separate

specialized geriatric care hospitals in their respective area of residence with no significant association (χ^2 =5.20; p-0.51).

It was evident from the present questionnaire-based survey that 50.7% subjects have awared of geriatric care centres through their relatives/friends, followed by 26%, 13% and 10% awared through advertisement, family doctor and through internet sources respectively. Chi-square test showed significant association (χ^2 =18.96; p-0.026). Furthermore, 75% of subjects reckoned affordable services was the preferences to visit specialized geriatric care for the treatment of their ailments followed by 64%, 59%, 41% and 31% have addressing psychological and emotional support, accessibility of facility, qualified staff in geriatric care, and provision of service on priority were the preferences respectively. Our study also revealed that 88% of study subjects postpone their health check-up due to affordability/financial issues followed by 72%, 67%, 57%, and 47% postpone due to fear of diagnosis of more diseases, accessibility of hospital, fear of advice of admission and lack of attendant/family support respectively. These findings are in consistent with previously published reports (Collins, 2014; Kaiser, 2015) physicians and other health care professionals may be able to play a role in helping their patients learn how best to seek needed care that is also affordable. During clinical visits, members of a patient's care team could initiate conversations with patients about payment and costs in the context of their care, in order to alleviate cost-related anxiety. Once cost enters the discussion, doctors and patients are often able to brainstorm money-saving strategies that may lower costs (Hunter, 2016), though these opportunities for discussion are often missed in the current health care setting (Ubel, 2016). Conversations incorporating cost and capacity may be increasingly important for those with reduced financial or physical resources, as they are more likely to report experiencing greater disruption in care (Boehmer, 2016).

We found that 36% of subjects reckoned that application of information technology was helpful in geriatric care. Whereas, still majority of study subjects, i.e., 61% were not at all aware of information technology applications in geriatric (χ^2 -12.62; p-0.049). With regards to type of information technology, 37% aware of telemedicine facility, followed by 12%, 3.7%, and 2.7% of subjects aware of safety and security monitoring, medication management, telemonitoring & equipment compensating the loss of function facilities respectively. These findings depicted that still majority of study subjects were not aware of role of information technology in geriatric care.

5. Conclusion

Current trends in demographics coupled with rapid urbanization and lifestyle changes have led to an emergence of a host of problems faced by the elderly in India. Provision of quality assured by elderly health-care hub for the elderly population is a must and is a challenge that requires joint approach and strategies. Failure to address the health needs today could develop into a costly problem tomorrow.

References

- Ahn, Y. H., & Kim, M. J. (2004). Health care needs of elderly in a rural community in Korea. *Public Health Nursing*, 21(2), 153-161. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0737-1209.2004.021209.x
- Baldin, C. B., & Fortes, V. L. F. (2008). Viuvez feminina: A fala de um grupo de idosas. *Revista Brasileira de Ci âncias do Envelhecimento Humano*, 5(1).
- Boehmer, K. R., Shippee, N. D., Beebe, T. J., & Montori, V. M. (2016). Pursuing minimally disruptive medicine: Disruption from illness and health care-related demands is correlated with patient capacity. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 74, 227-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.006
- Bongaarts, J. (2009). Human population growth and the demographic transition. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1532), 2985-2990. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0137
- Boutayeb, A., & Boutayeb, S. (2005). The burden of non-communicable diseases in developing countries. *International journal for equity in health*, 4(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9276-4-2
- Braz, N. F., Carneiro, M. V., Oliveira-Ferreira, F., Arrieiro, A. N., Amorim, F. T., Lima, M. M., & Peixoto, M. F. (2012). Influence of aerobic training on cardiovascular and metabolic parameters in ederly hypertensive women. *International journal of preventive medicine*, *3*(9), 652.
- Chatterji, S., Byles, J., Cutler, D., Seeman, T., & Verdes, E. (2015). Health, functioning, and disability in older adults—Present status and future implications. *The lancet*, 385(9967), 563-575. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61462-8
- Collins, S. R., Rasmussen, P. W., Doty, M. M., & Beutel, S. (2014). Too high a price: Out-of-pocket health care costs in the United States. Findings from the Commonwealth Fund Health Care Affordability Tracking Survey. *Issue Brief (Commonwealth Fund)*, 29, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.15868/socialsector.25053
- Crimmins, E. M., & Beltrán-Sánchez, H. (2011). Mortality and morbidity trends: Is there compression of morbidity? *Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 66(1), 75-86. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbq088
- Dey, S., Nambiar, D., Lakshmi, J. K., Sheikh, K., & Reddy, K. S. (2012). Health of the elderly in India: Challenges of access and affordability. In *Aging in Asia: Findings from new and emerging data initiatives*. National Academies Press (US).
- Goodwin, N., Sonola, L., Thiel, V., & Kodner, D. L. (2013). Co-ordinated care for people with complex chronic conditions: Key lessons and markers for success. London: The King's Fund.
- Hunter, W. G., Zhang, C. Z., Hesson, A., Davis, J. K., Kirby, C., Williamson, L. D., ... Ubel, P. A. (2016). What strategies do physicians and patients discuss to reduce out-of-pocket costs? Analysis of cost-saving strategies in 1,755 outpatient clinic visits. *Medical Decision Making*, 36(7), 900-910. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X15626384

- Indicators S. National Household Sample Survey. (2009). Last accessed on May 21, 2020.
- Inouye, K., & Pedrazzani, E. S. (2007). Instruction, social economic status and evaluation of some dimensions of octogenarians' quality of life. *Revista latino-americana de enfermagem*, 15(SPE), 742-747. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-11692007000700005
- James, B. D., Boyle, P. A., & Bennett, D. A. (2014). Correlates of susceptibility to scams in older adults without dementia. *Journal of elder abuse & neglect*, 26(2), 107-122. https://doi.org/10.1080/08946566.2013.821809
- James, B. D., Boyle, P. A., Bennett, J. S., & Bennett, D. A. (2012). The impact of health and financial literacy on decision making in community-based older adults. *Gerontology*, 58(6), 531-539. https://doi.org/10.1159/000339094
- Kaiser Family Foundation. (2015). *Key Facts about the Uninsured Population*. Menlo Park, CA: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured.
- Lusardi, A. (2008). Household saving behavior: The role of financial literacy, information, and financial education programs (No. w13824). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- NHA Estimates for India 2013-2014. (n.d.). *Ministry of Health and Family Welfare*. Retrieved May 21, 2020, from http://www.mohfw.nic.in/showfile.php?lid=4016
- Oliver, D., Foot, C., & Humphries, R. (2014). *Making our health and care systems fit for an ageing population*. London: UK: King's Fund.
- Overview of available policies and legislation, data and research, and institutional arrangements relating to older persons progress since Madrid. (2011). New York: United Nations Population Fund, Help Age International.
- Smith, S. M., Soubhi, H., Fortin, M., Hudon, C., & O'Dowd, T. (2012). Managing patients with multimorbidity: Systematic review of interventions in primary care and community settings. *BMJ*, 345, e5205. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5205
- Song, Y., Ma, W., Yi, X., Wang, S., Sun, X., Tian, J., ... Marley, G. (2013). Chronic diseases knowledge and related factors among the elderly in Jinan, China. *PLoS One*, 8(6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068599
- Sustainable development. (2015). In *United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs*, Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform [website]. New York: United Nations.
- Ubel, P. A., Zhang, C. J., Hesson, A., Davis, J. K., Kirby, C., Barnett, J., & Hunter, W. G. (2016). Study of physician and patient communication identifies missed opportunities to help reduce patients' out-of-pocket spending. *Health Affairs*, 35(4), 654-661. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.1280
- Woo, J., Ho, S. C., Lau, J., & Yuen, Y. K. (1994). Age and marital status are major factors associated with institutionalisation in elderly Hong Kong Chinese. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 48(3), 306-309. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.48.3.306
- World Health Organization. (2003). Key Policy Issues in Long-term Care. Geneva: WHO Press.
- World Health Organization. (2011). European report on preventing elder maltreatment.

World Health Organization. (2013). Definition of an older or elderly person.

World Health Organization. (2013). Nutrition for older persons.

World Health Organization. (2013). Towards policy for health and ageing.

Wysocki, A., Butler, M., Kane, R. L., Kane, R. A., Shippee, T., & Sainfort, F. (2015). Long-term services and supports for older adults: A review of home and community-based services versus institutional care. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 27(3), 255-279. https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2015.1024545