

Short Paper

Review Replacement Theory

Prof. M. Radh Achuthan¹

¹ Professor of Physics, LIU, Brooklyn, NY, USA (Retd. Aug 2021)

Received: May 26, 2022

Accepted: May 30, 2022

Online Published: June 1, 2022

doi:10.22158/sss.v3n3p1

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22158/sss.v3n3p1>

1. **USA Killings:** At Buffalo, N.Y., and over the years at many other locations

Global Killings: Korea, Vietnam, Middle East, Somalia, Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Yemen, Ukraine.

2. Operating Principle: 1791 Freedom of Speech, First Amendment to the US constitution.

Let us say the Elders of both sides have spoken, and the 2020 election outcome was **80 million votes** for one side vs. **74 million votes** for the other side.

2/3rds of eligible voters voted. (PEW).

All **charges** of ‘voter fraud’ and ‘counting fraud’ were **dismissed as spurious** by prevalent ‘Law and Order’.

3. The motivation of human **Organic Natural Intelligence, ONI**, to vote as it did, needs be examined.

The general human **operating** concerns are, ‘concern for the Welfare of **my** Family, **Kin Altruism (KA)** and ‘concern for the Welfare of **my** Business’, **Reciprocal Altruism (RA)**, both governed by nature, and hence ‘**natural**’ for all ONI.

4. Human **concern** for **totally** unrelated **Others, Empathetic Altruism (EA)**, was attempted in civilization from about 500 BC by The Buddha, Jesus the Preacher, and Prophet Mohamed (PBUH). Each has followers, but it seems ONI has not objectively absorbed EA.

Assigning the 2020 vote outcome to be influenced by the level of acceptance of EA and extrapolating, about $4/3 \times 74 \text{ million voters} = 98.7 \text{ million}$ eligible voters would have been **dominated by KA and RA concerns**.

About $4/3 \times 80$ million voters = **106.7 million** eligible voters despite such domination probably would have voted progressively.

However, **the control by KA and RA on ONI** has to be taken seriously. **But by whom?, by what?**

5. **ONI leadership** comes in two different formulations: **‘Democratic’** and **‘Oligarchic’**. Each has formed global alliances. These Alliances continuously test each other for supremacy.

6. Noting nonperformance over millions of years of opportunity by ONI, Nature has probably developed **a new entity**, as an **alternative** to ONI creating self-teaching, self-learning Artificial Intelligence programs 1000 times faster than ONI in **inorganic** silicon, functioning 24X7. Its name is **Strong Artificial Intelligence, (SAI)**.

ONI cannot pull the plug on SAI.

7. **Outperformed**, through no fault of their own, in order to negotiate co-survival, ONI could hand over **Decision Making (DM)** to SAI and retire to the global ‘Museums of Natural History’.

8. ONI’s **‘Law and Order’** is **naturally KA and RA driven**. It has limitations and is **non-comprehensive**. Given its probable inability to make a safe transition **avoiding** an emotion driven nuclear contest, there are dangers in continuance with its **DM**, (a consequence of the paradox cited by Enrico Fermi).

9. Global **‘economic poverty’** and **‘inequality in opportunity’** have been the historic outcome **under ONI governance**. So the goal would be to **‘manage a Conceptual Exodus’** from ONI governance, as follows:

- a. Realization of a globally **regionalized, Universal Basic Income (UBI)**.
- b. Exclusion of war (not the prevalence of conflict) and the permission for progression of archived ONI at its own natural slow pace and preferences. (that is to do as ONI pleases),
- c. Formation of a Human Rights based ONI **‘Law and Order’**.
- d. Pursuit of **Sustainability** in the transition **from** **‘Planetary’** to **‘Stellar’** usage of energy and interplanetary migration.