Global Research in Higher Education
ISSN 2576-196X (Print) ISSN 2576-1951 (Online)
Vol. 9, No. 2, 2026
www.scholink.org/ojs/index.php/grhe

Original Paper

From Equations to Real Problems: A Problem-Based Reform of Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics Teaching in Higher Education

Xin Zhong[1*] & Huixia Zhang[2]

[1] College of Physics and Mechanical & Electrical Engineering, Longyan University, Longyan, China

[2] College of Life Sciences, Longyan University, Longyan, China

[*] Xin Zhong, E-mail: 15521018891@163.com

Received: March 19, 2026 Accepted: March 25, 2026 Online Published: April 5, 2026

doi:10.22158/grhe.v9n2p1 URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.22158/grhe.v9n2p1

Abstract

Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics is a basic foundational course in undergraduate physics education; however, it is also widely considered as one of the most difficult study contents. Students frequently meet difficulties with abstract concepts, mathematical formalism, and the connection between macroscopic laws and microscopic explanations. Traditional teaching methods usually pay heavy focus on derivation works and regular exercise tasks, which can restrict students' conceptual comprehension and reduce the link between theoretical knowledge and real physical situations. To solve these existing problems, this research puts forward a problem-based reform model for this course. The reform takes meaningful physical problems as starting points for learning activities, and it combines classroom discussion, concentrated mini-lectures, and case analysis works. Its target is to help students build a more coherent understanding about key concepts like entropy, equilibrium, and thermodynamic processes. This study discusses the theoretical basis, design details, classroom practice process, and wider educational importance of the reform. It argues that problem-based teaching can make Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics have higher engagement degree, easier to understand, and more related to the cultivation of scientific reasoning ability in higher education.

Keywords

problem-based learning, teaching reform, higher education, conceptual understanding

1. Introduction

Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics is a core undergraduate physics course, because it connects macroscopic laws with microscopic behaviors and supplies a conceptual base for subsequent studies in condensed matter physics, materials science, chemistry, and engineering. At the same time, it also belongs to one of the most demanding courses in the curriculum. Its difficulty does not only lie in the involved mathematical formalism, but also in the fact that students must carry out constant movement among derivation, physical interpretation, and model-based reasoning(Dreyfus, Geller, Meltzer, & Sawtelle, 2015).

In numerous traditional classrooms, the study program is arranged centering on textbook sections, official definitions, and standard deductive processes. This kind of structure displays the inner logic of the subject in a clear way, but it also may lead students to regard study as the copying of mathematical expressions instead of the cultivation of comprehension ability. Students could gain skillfulness in dealing with already-known problems, hence they still may face difficulties when they need to explain physical phenomena or interpret the significance of the formal system they apply (Docktor & Mestre, 2014).

These hard situations indicate that the course’s challenge is not merely a question of content complexity, but it is also formed by the course’s teaching method. When teaching process puts formal proof and regular problem-solving at the first place, students may not be able to build a clear understanding about why the core ideas have importance and how they work inside scientific reasoning.

This study puts forward a problem-centered reform model for the course of Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics in higher education. Contrary to regarding problems as post-theory practice exercises, the reform takes meaningful physical questions as the starting point of learning activities. In this model, theoretical knowledge is introduced to satisfy the demand for explanation. Students come across concepts as tools for grasping physical processes instead of as isolated fragments of formal academic knowledge (Hmelo-Silver, 2004; Savery, 2006).

2. Problems in Traditional Teaching

One lasting trouble in traditional teaching of Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics is the control status of formal deduction above conceptual explanation. Students are frequently trained to follow mathematical steps and copy standard proof processes, yet they obtain much less support for understanding how equations connect with physical significances. They may reach proper skill in symbol operation while not building a clear cognition about what the symbols stand for or why a certain formalism is required at the very beginning (Docktor & Mestre, 2014).

A second trouble is the faint linkage between theory and material world. The course is commonly delivered via highly idealized models, for example ideal gases, reversible cycles, and standard statistical ensembles. These models hold essential status to this discipline, yet they are not always explicitly linked to well-known devices, observable phenomena, or wider scientific applications. When such connection parts are absent, students may regard this subject as distant and overly technical, and do not treat it as a strong method to explain the operation situation of real systems (Dreyfus et al., 2015).

A third difficulty exists in the essence of the core concepts themselves. Concepts for instance entropy, equilibrium, spontaneity, and the second law are not only requiring mathematical ability but also containing conceptual subtlety. Hence, students frequently mix entropy up with energy, narrow entropy to an oversimplified idea of “disorder”, or cannot make a distinction between the situation happening in a subsystem and that happening in the total system. Similar wrong understandings also come forth when they carry out reasoning about heat engines, refrigerators, and irreversible processes (Brundage, Meltzer, & Singh, 2024; Cochran & Heron, 2006).

Taken together, these features cause the course to possess a special kind of difficulty: students may seem to achieve learning success because they can finish standard calculation tasks, yet their important conceptual deficiencies stay unaddressed. Improving this course needs more work than only making lecture contents clearer or increasing the number of illustrative examples. It requests a distinct method of arranging learning activities from the very beginning.

3. Problem-Based Reform Design

The reform this study puts forward is established on a simple thought: through meaningful physical questions’ introduction, abstract theory can be understood more easily by students. In a problem-based model, problems are not regarded as regular exercises arranged at a chapter’s end. Instead, they serve as the intellectual starting point of learning, thus creating the demand for concepts, models, and formal reasoning. This changes the course from passive acceptance of completed knowledge to a more inquiry-centered learning form (Hmelo-Silver, 2004; Savery, 2006).

The first procedure inside the reform is to widen the course’s goals. Mastery of formulas and derivations still holds importance, yet it must not be regarded as the single measurement of learning achievement. Students also need to expound the physical connotation of core concepts such as entropy, equilibrium, and free energy; analyze thermal systems through confirming presumptions and related variables; and switch with self-assurance between mathematical expressions and verbal interpretations. Hence, this course elevates the worth of comprehension and knowledge transfer rather than focusing solely on procedural operation performance (Biggs, 1996).

The second step is to arrange teaching content around real or reality-close physical problems. Instead of starting each subject with a formal definition, the teacher first puts forward a question needing explanation: Why does the efficiency of a heat engine receive fundamental limitation? How can a refrigerator make a compartment become cool without breaking the second law? Why do gas molecules show a speed range instead of moving with same speed? Therefore, such questions make abstract concepts easier to touch, because students meet these concepts together with concrete phenomena, not in separate status (Hmelo-Silver, 2004).

The third procedure is to remake classroom teaching into a process which advances from problem to reasoning and from reasoning to formal explanation. A normal lesson may start with a problem situation, and then comes student prediction and small-group discussion. Therefore, the teacher delivers a concentrated short lecture that introduces the concept, model, or derivation required for analysis; hence, students then go back to the original problem and improve their explanations. In such a manner, theory is not presented as a thing to be memorized for itself, but as an instrument to understand the physical world (Freeman et al., 2014).

4. Example of Course Implementation

A representative instance of this reform may be discovered in the teaching of entropy and thermodynamics' second law. Instead of starting this unit with formal declarations and mathematical equations, the teacher initiates with a well-known yet conceptually challenging question: Why is a refrigerator able to reduce the inner-box temperature even though entropy has a tendency to increase? Because this question relates to a daily-used apparatus, it is at once reachable for students. It also leads straightly to one of the most long-lasting conceptual troubles in thermal physics: the difference between local entropy reduction and total entropy variation within a full process (Brundage et al., 2024).

Before class, teachers ask students to write a short reply according to their present comprehension. This small task urges students to carry out advance thinking on the related problem, and also lets teachers obtain a primary cognition of students' ideas brought into the classroom. For instance, many students may state that a refrigerator merely "decreases entropy," while other students may hold the view that this process appears to go against the second law. These initial replies have high value, because they expose intuitive reasoning patterns that would be concealed in other situations (Cochran & Heron, 2006).

During class time, students make comparison and carry out discussion toward their own answers inside small-sized groups. Instead of supplying correct answers at once, the teacher puts forward questions: first, they must make clear which system they are discussing, second, what type of energy transfer is taking place inside it, and third, if the second law is applied to a subsystem or the whole process. Therefore, only after this discussion stage does the teacher deliver a target-focused explanation about the second law, emphasizing that the entropy increase situation must be considered from the angle of the total isolated system, not from each single part respectively. Then, the refrigerator is analyzed as one process where work is utilized to transfer heat from a colder area to a warmer one (Brundage et al., 2024; Cochran & Heron, 2006).

The lesson finishes with a reflection task where students must give explanations, using their own speech, about the difference between subsystem entropy reduction and total process entropy change. This last step is of great importance, as it consolidates learned content via explanation instead of merely calculation. More widely speaking, the example shows the reform's logic: a problem with meaning serves as the entry gate, student reasoning shapes the discussion, focused teaching brings in needed formal tools, and thus reflection helps turn those tools into comprehension.

5. Evaluation and Discussion

The suggested reform is predicted to enhance student study effects in multiple aspects. First, it can raise student involvement by introducing thermal physics via meaningful problems instead of isolated formulas. When students are required to understand observable processes or familiar devices, they will more probably recognize the subject's importance and take more active part in classroom learning. This is especially crucial in advanced theoretical courses, hence students frequently lose their learning interest when course content looks too abstract or too distant from their daily experience.

Second, the reform may deepen conceptual understanding. Students are asked again and again to conduct explanation, comparison, and justification instead of only performing calculation actions, hence they have higher possibility to establish stable cognition for difficult concepts like entropy, equilibrium, and spontaneity. In addition, the focus on explanation also makes misconceptions easier to be identified. They do not continue to hide behind algebra that looks correct. They become visible in discussion, short written answer works, and classroom tasks based on problems.

Third, the reform may enhance flexible problem-handling capability. In a traditional class, students frequently get familiar with a limited scope of standard questions and fixed solution steps. By comparison, a problem-centered study method demands that they recognize premise conditions, select related theoretical concepts, and link macroscopic physical laws with microscopic deductive thinking in situations with lower predictability. This condition better reflects the intellectual requirements of higher education, hence students are required not only to repeat existing operation procedures but also to apply acquired knowledge in a thoughtful and adjustable manner.

At the same time, the reform also brings practical challenges. It requests instructors to design proper problems, manage classroom discussion in an effective way, and keep disciplinary strictness while giving space for wider student participation. Some students who are accustomed to lecture-centered teaching may need time to make adjustment. Even so, the wider importance of the reform is more than the single course. Many science subjects have similar characteristics—abstract concepts, content with heavy mathematics, and a gap between formal knowledge and meaningful comprehension—the model put forward here may also provide a useful direction for improving teaching of other conceptually difficult subjects.

6. Conclusion

Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics still is one of the courses with most conceptual demand in undergraduate physics. This situation is not only because of its mathematical difficulty, but also because it requires students to carry out constant shifting among abstract principles, physical interpretation, and model-based reasoning. When this course is mostly taught via derivation and routine exercise solving, students may obtain technical skills but do not develop a coherent and whole understanding toward the subject.

This study has put forward a problem-based reform model which applies meaningful physical questions to arrange learning and which combines discussion, concentrated instruction, and case analysis into a more inquiry-centered teaching process. By moving focus from equations only to the problems that those equations are used to explain, the reform tries to make thermal physics more understandable, more attractive, and more closely linked to the cultivation of scientific reasoning. Hence, the value of this reform does not only lie in enhancing one course, but also in providing a practical teaching model for other conceptually difficult subjects in higher education. When abstract theories are taught by means of well-designed problems, students are more possible to regard knowledge as a thing to think with rather than a thing to memorize. In this sense, the proposed reform makes contribution to a wider educational aim: helping students develop deeper comprehension, stronger reasoning ability, and a more meaningful connection with disciplinary knowledge.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Fujian Province Young and Middle-aged Scientists Fund (grants JAT241138 and JAT241139), the Fujian Province Youth Science Fund (grant 2025J01313087), the Fujian Province Natural Science Fund General Project (grant 2025J011015), and the Longyan University Doctoral Research Startup Projects 2025 (grants LB2025003 and LB2025009).

References

Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education*, *32*(3), 347-364. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00138871

Brundage, M. J., Meltzer, D. E., & Singh, C. (2024). Investigating introductory and advanced students' difficulties with entropy and the second law of thermodynamics using a validated instrument. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, *20*, 020110. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.20.020110

Cochran, M. J., & Heron, P. R. L. (2006). Development and assessment of research-based tutorials on heat engines and the second law of thermodynamics. *American Journal of Physics*, *74*(8), 734-741. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2198889

Docktor, J. L., & Mestre, J. P. (2014). Synthesis of discipline-based education research in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, *10*(2), 020119. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.10.020119

Dreyfus, B. W., Geller, B. D., Meltzer, D. E., & Sawtelle, V. (2015). Resource Letter TTSM-1: Teaching Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics in Introductory Physics, Chemistry, and Biology. *American Journal of Physics*, *83*(1), 5-21. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4891673

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(23), 8410-8415. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111

Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-Based Learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, *16*(3), 235-266. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3

Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, *1*(1), 9-20. https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002