## Original Paper

# Research on Regional Economic Growth and CO2 Emissions

## **Reduction Targets: A Decoupling Perspective**

Yiran Ma<sup>1</sup> & Xueyi Zhuang<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Maple Leaf International School Dalian, Dalian, Liaoning, China

<sup>2</sup> Colorado City University, Denver, United States

\* Corresponding author, Colorado City University, Denver, United States

Received: May 09, 2024	Accepted: June 17, 2024	Online Published: June 30, 2024
doi:10.22158/ibes.v6n3p204	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10	.22158/ibes.v6n3p204

## Abstract

Elucidating the relationship between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions helps promote the coordinated and synergistic development of the economy and the environment. This study utilizes the Tapio decoupling model to analyze the decoupling relationship between economic growth rate and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 14 cities of Liaoning Province from 2005 to 2020. Additionally, a model is established with the aim of determining CO<sub>2</sub> reduction targets for achieving strong or weak decoupling states. Results showed that although most cities showed a strong or weak decoupling increased from 2017 to 2020. Liaoning Province faces significant challenges in decoupling economic growth rate from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, it needs to reduce its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (CE) by 5659.33 10<sup>7</sup> Kg or 5397.80 10<sup>7</sup> Kg to achieve strong or weak decoupling, respectively. Among the 14 cities, 8 cities have not achieved strong or weak decoupling. Cities such as Anshan, Yingkou, and Benxi face the greatest challenges, with a minimum required reduction of 25.72% in CE, 41.77% in Carbon Emissions per Capita, and 16.62% in Carbon Emissions per Unit of GDP. The research results can provide theoretical support for improving energy efficiency.

## Keywords

economic growth rate, CO2 emissions, Decoupling model, Reduction targets, Liaoning Province

## 1. Introduction

The pursuit of economic growth often relies on resource consumption and has a negative impact on the environment, with the most evident issue being the escalating levels of carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions (Li et al., 2023; Magazzino, 2024; Xie et al., 2023). The complex relationship between economic

growth and  $CO_2$  emissions has become critical issues both in economic and sustainable development discourse (Wang et al., 2022; You et al., 2022). As the global community strives to mitigate climate change and promote a sustainable economy, the need to decouple economic growth from resource dependence and environmental degradation has never been more urgent. In China, "carbon neutrality" and "peak carbon" have set clear regulations for  $CO_2$  emission reduction targets. Addressing the issue of economic development that results in carbon emissions has become crucial. Understanding the current relationship between economic growth and  $CO_2$  emissions, as well as estimating emission reduction targets, is foundational work for addressing the issue.

Under different levels of economic development or with different regional industrial structures, the correlation between economic growth and CO2 emissions shows distinct differences (Sufyanullah et al., 2022; Gaies et al., 2022). Since economic growth is typically closely related to  $CO_2$  emissions, previous studies have indicated that regional CO<sub>2</sub> emissions can be used to characterize the level of economic growth (Li et al., 2023; Jebabli et al., 2023; González-Álvarez & Montañés, 2023). For instance, Liu et al. (2022) indicated that the economic growth of the developed eastern provinces in China are not highly dependent on energy consumption (i.e., low CO<sub>2</sub> emissions), while the provinces at a lower or middle level of economic development rely excessively on energy consumption (i.e., high  $CO_2$  emissions). On the other hand, Ozturk et al. (2022) analyzed the relationship between  $CO_2$ emissions, economic growth, and energy consumption in Saudi Arabia from 1968 to 2017, the findings revealed that energy consumption has a positive impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth has a negative impact. Onofrei et al. (2022) examined the correlation between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across the 27 EU member states from 2000 to 2017, they highlighted that, on average, a 1% change in GDP results in a 0.072% change in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and emphasized the necessity for crafting environmental policies that can reduce emissions during times of economic growth. Existing studies have indicated that the relationship between economic growth and carbon emissions varies under different levels of economic development and industrial structures.

To explore the decoupling relationship between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, a series of theoretical frameworks and methodologies have been developed (Gao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2024). For example, the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) theory provides an framework for analyzing the relationship between environmental pressure and economic development (Dinda 2004), but it contains unreasonable assumptions such as that economic growth will lead to the improvement of environmental quality (Uchiyama & Uchiyama, 2016; Leal et al., 2022). The limitations of the EKC theory have prompted researchers to explore more dynamic decoupling theories. To measure the level of decoupling, some decoupling indices (such as the Tapio decoupling model, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Decoupling Index) are utilized to evaluate the evolving connection between carbon emissions and GDP growth (Yang et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023). Among these indices, the Tapio decoupling model serves as robust and versatile tool widely used for quantifying and analyzing the dynamic relationship between economic growth and environmental pressures. For

example, Zhang and Sharifi (2024) adopted the Tapio decoupling model to examine the the relationship between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across China's provincial capitals, and quantified the decoupling relationship. Song et al. (2020), Gyamerah and Gil-Alana (2023) have also demonstrated the effectiveness of the Tapio decoupling model. In addition, structural decomposition analysis and index decomposition analysis methods are used to identify and quantify the key factors driving changes in economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Cansino et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017, Ang & Goh, 2019). Some scholars developed new models to evaluate the relationship between economic growth and carbon emissions (Mardani et al., 2019). Liu et al. (2022) employed the new two-dimensional decoupling model to scrutinize the dynamics of decoupling between energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth. The integrated application of these theories and methods not only deepens our understanding of the economic and behavioral factors behind the decoupling phenomenon, but also provides a scientific basis for formulating effective low-carbon development strategies.

This study aims to analyze the regional economic growth and CO2 emissions reduction targets from a decoupling perspective. The Tapio decoupling model is used to examine the the decoupling relationship between economic growth rate and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (CE), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita (CEP), and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per unit of GDP (CEPGDP)) in 14 cities of Liaoning Province from 2005 to 2020. Additionally, a regional economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction target model is established with the aim of determining CO<sub>2</sub> reduction targets for achieving strong or weak decoupling states, and understanding the degree of dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions on economic growth in different cities. The primary reason for selecting Liaoning Province as the study area is that it epitomizes the complex dynamics between industrial development and environmental sustainability.

## 2. Method

#### 2.1 Tapio Decoupling Model

Tapio Decoupling Model serves as a crucial analytical framework for dissecting the complex interplay relationship between economic growth and its environmental implications (Duan et al., 2021). The model was initially proposed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). It has been effectively utilized across various domains, including environmental policy anfalysis, resource management, energy efficiency strategies, and climate change mitigation. The model provides a powerful analytical tool for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, improving energy efficiency, and promoting the development of green technologies. Based on the Tapio Decoupling Model, the dynamic relationship between economic growth and environmental pressure can be clearly revealed. The model considers various periods as distinct time scales, thereby eliminating the need to select a specific base period. The model comprehensively considers both total and relative quantity changes, refining the measurement of the decoupling degree between variables.

The Tapio Decoupling Model is utilized to establish a regional economic growth- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decoupling model, to explore the degree of coupling and decoupling between economic growth and

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The regional economic growth-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decoupling model is represented as follows:

$$f_{i} = \frac{\Delta C_{i}}{\Delta GDP} = \frac{\frac{C_{n}^{'} - C_{n-1}^{'}}{C_{n-1}^{'}}}{\frac{GDP_{n} - GDP_{n-1}}{GDP_{n-1}}}$$

Where  $f_i$  is the decoupling coefficient between economic growth and the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of CE (i=1), CEPP (i=2), or CEPGDP (i=3);  $C_n^i$  and  $C_{n-1}^i$  represent the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of *i* in the *n*th and (n-1)th year (n = 2006, 2007, ..., 2020), respectively;  $\Delta C_i$  refers the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of *i* in the *n*th year difference from the emissions of *i* in the (n-1)th year;  $GDP_n$  and  $GDP_{n-1}$  represent the GDP in the *n*th and (n-1)th year, respectively;  $\Delta GDP$  refers the GDP in the *n*th year difference from the emissions of *i* in the (n-1)th year.

The regional economic growth- $CO_2$  emissions decoupling model takes into account both total and relative changes, categorizing decoupling into three states: decoupling, negative decoupling, and connection; and further divides them into eight levels of decoupling intensity (Table 1).

Decoupling R	elationship	т	10	ACDR	
Decoupling st	Туре	$\Delta C_i$	$\Delta GDP$	$J_i$	
Decoupling	Strong decoupling	Ι	<0	>0	≤0
	Weak decoupling	II	>0	>0	(0,0.8)
	Recession decoupling	III	< 0	< 0	≥1.2
Negative decoupling	Strong negative decoupling	IV	>0	< 0	≤0
	Weak negative decoupling	V	< 0	< 0	(0,0.8)
	Growth negative decoupling	VI	>0	>0	≥1.2
Connection	Growth link	VII	>0	>0	(0.8,1.2)
	Recession connection	VIII	<0	<0	(0.8,1.2)

Table 1. Grade Classification of Decoupling of the Economic Growth and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

For the decoupling states, the decoupling state is the most desirable state, the connection state is the next best, and the negative decoupling state is the least desirable. In the strong decoupling state, economic growth is realized independently of the expense associated with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while economic growth continues to be significantly tied to CO<sub>2</sub> dioxide emissions in both negative decoupling and connection states. For the decoupling levels, the strong decoupling level is the most desirable level, i.e., economic growth does not lead to an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> dioxide emissions.

2.1 Regional Economic Growth and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Reduction Target Model

Based on the regional economic growth-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decoupling model and the grade classification of decoupling of the regional economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the regional economic growth and

 $CO_2$  emissions reduction target model is established to analyze the  $CO_2$  emissions reduction target for the different decoupling levevls (i.e., strong decoupling, weak decoupling, and recession decoupling). In the computation of  $CO_2$  emission reduction targets, the results for weak decoupling and recession decoupling are consolidated and presented as weak decoupling for clarity. This study takes 2020 as the current period and 2019 as the base period, and calculates the  $CO_2$  emission reduction targets for achieving strong decoupling, weak decoupling, and recession decoupling based on the relationship between economic growth and  $CO_2$  emissions.

Let  $DC_{2020}^{i}$  represent the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020 where economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are decoupled, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction target is  $RC_{2020}^{i}$  (i.e., the difference between the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020 and  $DC_{2020}^{i}$ ). The regional economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction target model is represented as follows:

(1) If  $\Delta GDP > 0$ , it is necessary to ensure that  $f_i \le 0$  to guarantee that economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are in a state of strong decoupling, i.e.:

$$f_{i} = \frac{\Delta C_{i}}{\Delta GDP} = \frac{\frac{C_{2020}^{i} - C_{2019}^{i}}{C_{2019}^{i}}}{\frac{GDP_{2020} - GDP_{2019}}{GDP_{2019}}} \le 0$$

Therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020 should statisfy  $0 \le DC_{2020}^i \le C_{2019}^i$ . (2) If  $\Delta GDP > 0$ , it is necessary to ensure that  $0 < f_i < 0$  to guarantee that economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are in a state of weak decoupling, i.e.:

$$0 < f_{i} = \frac{\Delta C_{i}}{\Delta GDP} = \frac{\frac{C_{2020}^{i} - C_{2019}^{i}}{C_{2019}^{i}}}{\frac{GDP_{2020} - GDP_{2019}}{GDP_{2019}}} < 0.8$$

Therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020 should statisfy  $C_{2019}^i \leq DC_{2020}^i \leq C_{2019}^i + 0.8C_{2019}^i \Delta GDP$ . (3) If  $\Delta GDP < 0$ , it is necessary to ensure that  $f_i \geq 1.2$  to guarantee that economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are in a state of weak decoupling, i.e.:

$$f_{i} = \frac{\Delta C_{i}}{\Delta GDP} = \frac{\frac{C_{2020}^{i} - C_{2019}^{i}}{C_{2019}^{i}}}{\frac{GDP_{2020} - GDP_{2019}}{GDP_{2019}}} \ge 1.2$$

Therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020 should statisfy  $0 \le DC_{2020}^i \le C_{2019}^i + 1.2C_{2019}^i \Delta GDP$ . As mentioned above, if  $DC_{2020}^i \ge C_{2019}^i$ , then  $RC_{2020}^i = 0$ ; if  $DC_{2020}^i < C_{2019}^i$ , then  $RC_{2020}^i \ge C_{2020}^i - DC_{2020}^i$ .

## 3. Study Area and Data

#### 3.1 Study Area

Liaoning Province is located at the southern end of Northeast China and is a province with a long history and well-developed industry. It borders the east of the Yellow Sea and the west of Bohai Sea;

has belongs to the temperate monsoon climate, with hot and humid summers and cold and dry winters. According to the statistical data from the Liaoning Provincial Bureau of Statistics, the GDP of Liaoning Province in 2020 was 2,515.0 billion yuan. Among them, the value added of the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries were 228.46, 940.09, and 1,342.94 billion yuan. It has maintained a relatively high economic growth rate overall, with the long-term average GDP growth rates of various cities from 2005 to 2020 ranging between 7.18% and 11.01%. As an important part of China's industrial base, it has a strong manufacturing industry foundation. Liaoning Province plays a significant role in fields such as steel, petrochemicals, and equipment manufacturing. Meanwhile, it is actively fostering the growth of modern service sectors and high-tech industries to attain diversified economic development. With the rapid development of industrialization and urbanization, issues related to energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have become increasingly prominent. From 2005 to 2020, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Liaoning Province increased relatively steadily, with an average annual CO<sub>2</sub> emission of 34.68 million tons. There is significant spatial variation, with the average annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in various cities ranging from 13.45 to 60.78 million tons.



Figure 1. The Average Yearly GDP Growth Rates (a) and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions (b) in Different Cities of Liaoning Province During the Period from 2005 to 2020

#### 3.2 Data

This study focuses on the 14 cities in Liaoning Province, examining the decoupling relationship between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in each city, as well as their targets for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The economic growth rate data is selected from the Liaoning Statistical Yearbook, which is published by the Liaoning Provincial Bureau of Statistics (https://tjj.ln.gov.cn/tjj/tjsj/tjnj/index.shtml), covering the years 2005 to 2020. The CO2 emissions data spanning from 2005 to 2020 is derived from the Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets (https://www.ceads.net.cn/data/city/), with missing data supplemented by publications from the Institute of Public and Environmental Affairs. (https://www.ipe.org.cn/MapLowCarbon/LowCarbon.html).

#### 4. Results and Discussion

## 4.1 The Decoupling Relationship Between Regional Economic Growth and CO2 Emissions

During the period from 2006 to 2020, the economic growth rates of various cities in Liaoning Province generally showed a strong or weak decoupling state with CE, CEPP, or CEPGDP (Figure 2), but the decoupling status was not as favorable in certain years. The economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions typically maintain a rather well-coordinated connection in Liaoning Province. On average, over 50% of cities exhibit a state of strong or weak decoupling between economic growth and CE, CEPP, or CEPGDP; 20% to 30% of cities are in a state of negative decoupling, with 16% to 25% exhibiting growth negative decoupling, meaning that the majority of cities in Liaoning Province are primarily characterized by a state of negative decoupling. The period between 2006 and 2016 showed a more favorable decoupling status; however, conditions deteriorated from 2017 to 2020, as the negative decoupling ratio rose to between 52% and 59%. This shift is primarily attributed to the economic deceleration in Liaoning Province, coupled with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that have stayed at comparatively elevated levels.



Figure 2. The Number of Cities with Different Levels of Decoupling Between Economic Growth Rate and CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP from 2006 to 2020

Overall, the decoupling state of CEPGDP is best favorable state, CE is the next best, and CEPP has the least favorable decoupling state (Figure 2). For CE, the number of cities in the decoupling state ranges from 2 to 14, with an average of 7.1 cities over the years, and the percentage of decoupling cities is at 51%; while the number of cities in the negative decoupling state ranges from 0 to 11, with an average

Published by SCHOLINK INC.

of 3.9 cities over the years (Figure 2a). Liaoning Province still faces significant challenges in decoupling economic growth rates from CE, mainly due to the long-term high dependence of its economic development on heavy industry and high-energy-consuming industries, which has led to a strong coupling relationship between  $CO_2$  emissions and economic growth. For CEPP, the number of cities in the decoupling state is essentially consistent with CE, but the average number of cities in a state of negative decoupling reaches 4.3 (Figure 2b). For CEPGDP, the average number of cities in a state of decoupling is 10.1, while the average number for weak decoupling is only 2.8 (Figure 2c).

Spatially, Liaoning Province exhibits a significant spatial differences in the decoupling status between the economic growth rate and CE, CEP, and CEPGDP. To analyze the spatial differences, the years 2006, 2013, and 2019 were selected to examine the decoupling levels between economic growth and  $CO_2$  emissions in different cities.

Results revealed that cities experiencing faster or slower economic growth were typically characterized by a state of strong or weak decoupling in relation to CE, CEP, and CEPGDP, while those with more stable economic growth exhibited a general trend of negative decoupling concerning these indicators (Figure 3). As time progresses, the variations in decoupling states among various cities demonstrate notable disparities. For example, some cities (e.g., Shenyang, Tieling, Huludao) has transitioned from a decoupling state to a negative decoupling state, while cities such as Benxi, Jinzhou, etc. show an opposite trend. On the other hand, Fushun, Panjin, and some other cities have consistently remained in a state of negative decoupling. These differences may be caused by a variety of factors, including the industrial structure, energy efficiency, policy enforcement, and the application of green technologies in different regions.



Figure 3. The Level of Decoupling between Economic Growth Rates and CE, CEPP, CEPGDP in Various Cities of Liaoning Province from 2006 to 2020

Published by SCHOLINK INC.

#### 4.2 Regional Economic Growth and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Reduction Targets

Based on the regional economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction target model, this study selects 2020 as the current period to analyze the CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP reduction targets for achieving strong and weak decoupling between regional economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP reduction targets for achieving strong and weak decoupling in various cities of Liaoning Province are shown in Table 2. Overall, Liaoning Province needs to reduce CE by 5659.33 10<sup>7</sup> Kg or  $5397.80 \ 10^7$  Kg to achieve strong or weak decoupling between economic growth rate and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Compared to the current period, achieving strong decoupling and weak decoupling requires a reduction in CE by 11.66% and 11.11%, respectively.

The cities in Liaoning Province continue to face significant challenges in decoupling their economic growth rates from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Out of 14 cities in Liaoning Province, 8 cities have not achieved strong or weak decoupling (Figures 4 and 5). Among them, cities such as Anshan, Yingkou, Chaoyang, and Benxi face the greatest challenges. In contrast to the current period, Anshan, Yingkou, Chaoyang, and Benxi need to reduce their CE by 35.46%, 34.89%, 27.82%, and 25.72% respectively; and CEPP by 45.44%, 41.77%, 33.97%, 55.18% respectively; and CEPGDP by 22.17%, 19.94%, 17.33%, and 16.62% respectively (Figure 4). Cities such Liaoyang and Dandong face the second greatest challenges, Liaovang, Jinzhou and Dandong need to reduce their CE by 7.06%, 6.89% and 6.53, respectively; and CEPP by 23.03%, 16.90% and 17.28%, respectively; and CEPGDP by 4.80%, 3.75% and 4.46%, respectively (Figure 4). Fushun City faces relatively minor challenges, requiring a reduction in its CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP by less than 10%. The challenges faced by the aforementioned cities in achieving weak decoupling between economic growth rates and CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP are similar to those for strong decoupling, and thus will not be further elaborated upon (Figure 5). Overall, the economic growth rate in Liaoning Province still largely depends on resource consumption.

Various Cities	of Liaoning	Province Air	n to	Achieve	Strong	and	Weak	Decoupling	from	CO2
Emissions										
	Strong decoup	ling			Weak	deco	upling			

Table 2. T	The CE,	CEPP, a	nd CEPGDP	Reduction	Targets for	r Regional	Economic	Growt	h in
Various C	ities of	Liaoning	Province Aim	to Achiev	e Strong a	nd Weak	Decoupling	from	CO2
Emissions									

-	Strong de	coupling		Weak decoupling			
Cities	CE (10 <sup>7</sup> Kg)	CEPP (10 <sup>3</sup> Kg per Capita)	CEPGDP	CE(10 <sup>7</sup> Kg)	CEPP(10 <sup>3</sup> Kg per Capita)	CEPGDP	
			(10 <sup>3</sup> Kg per			(10 <sup>3</sup> Kg per	
			10 <sup>4</sup> Yuan)			10 <sup>4</sup> Yuan)	
Shenyang	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Dalian	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Anshan	2144.20	7.87	0.65	2125.00	7.81	0.64	
Fushun	0.00	1.88	0.17	0.91	1.39	0.08	
Benxi	1169.60	16.24	1.05	1080.00	15.71	0.97	
Dandong	87.86	0.97	0.08	82.76	0.95	0.08	
Jinzhou	162.84	1.30	0.11	161.32	1.30	0.11	

www.scholink.org/ojs/index.php/ibes		International Bu	International Business & Economics Studies				
Yingkou	1277.44	6.38	0.70	1206.90	6.09	0.65	
Fuxin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Liaoyang	176.01	3.18	0.16	160.92	3.09	0.14	
Panjin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Tieling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Chaoyang	641.38	2.39	0.76	580.00	2.18	0.68	
Huludao	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	



Figure 4. The Reduction Ratios for Liaoning Province's Cities to Achieve Strong Decoupling Between Economic Growth Rates and CE (a), ECPP (b), CEPGDP (c), Respectively



Figure 5. Similar to Figure 4 but for Weak Decoupling

## 5. Conclusions

This study utilizes the economic growth, CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP data across 14 cities in Liaoning Province, spanning the period from 2005 to 2020. Utilizing the Tapio Decoupling Model, it examines the decoupling relationships between economic growth and CE, CEPP, and CEPGDP, respectively. And leveraging the established Regional Economic Growth and CO2 Emissions Reduction Target Model, the study determines the specific CO<sub>2</sub> reduction targets required for each city to attain strong and weak decoupling states. The following conclusions are drawn:

(1) Although the majority of cities demonstrated a state of strong or weak decoupling from 2005 to 2020, the decoupling performance in specific years was not satisfactory. Particularly between 2017 and 2020, the proportion of negative decoupling increased, indicating that while economic growth slowed, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions remained high.

(2) The decoupling status of CEPGDP is the most favorable, followed by CE, while the decoupling status for CEPP is the least optimistic. In terms of CE, on average, 7.1 cities showed a state of decoupling (i.e., strong and weak decoupling), accounting for 51%; while the average number of cities in a state of negative decoupling is 3.9. The number of cities in a decoupling state for CEPP is essentially consistent with that of CE, but the average number of cities in a state of negative decoupling reaches 4.3. Regarding CEPGDP, an average of 10.1 cities achieved a state of decoupling, and the average number of cities in a weak decoupling state is only 2.8.

(3) To achieve strong or weak decoupling between economic growth rates and CE, Liaoning Province

needs to reduce its CE by 5659.33  $10^7$  Kg or 5397.80  $10^7$  Kg, respectively. Compared to the current level, this translates to a reduction of 11.66% for strong decoupling and 11.11% for weak decoupling. (4) Overall, the cities in Liaoning Province continue to face significant challenges in decoupling their economic growth rates from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Among the 14 cities, 8 cities have not achieved strong or weak decoupling. Among them, cities such as Anshan, Yingkou, Chaoyang, and Benxi face the greatest challenges, with a minimum required reduction of 25.72% in CE, 41.77% in CEPP, and 16.62% in CEPGDP. The economic growth of Liaoning Province still largely depends on resource consumption.

### Acknowledgement

We thank the editor and reviewers for insightful comments, which improved the manuscript.

#### References

- Ang, B. W., & Goh, T. (2019). Index decomposition analysis for comparing emission scenarios:
  Applications and challenges. *Energy Economics*, 83, 74-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2019.06.013
- Cansino, J. M., Román, R., & Ordóñez, M. (2016). Main drivers of changes in CO2 emissions in the Spanish economy: A structural decomposition analysis. *Energy Policy*, 89, 150-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2015.11.020
- Dinda, S. (2004). Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: A survey. *Ecological economics*, 49(4), 431-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.02.011
- Duan, T., Feng, J., Zhou, Y., Chang, X., & Li, Y. (2021). Systematic evaluation of management measure effects on the water environment based on the DPSIR-Tapio decoupling model: A case study in the Chaohu Lake watershed, China. Science of the total environment, 801, 149528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149528
- Gaies, B., Nakhli, M. S., & Sahut, J. M. (2022). What are the effects of economic globalization on CO2 emissions in MENA countries?. *Economic Modelling*, 116, 106022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2022.106022
- Gao, C., Ge, H., Lu, Y., Wang, W., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Decoupling of provincial energy-related CO2 emissions from economic growth in China and its convergence from 1995 to 2017. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, 126627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126627
- González-Álvarez, M. A., & Montañés, A. (2023). CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth: Determining the stability of the 3E relationship. *Economic Modelling*, 121, 106195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2023.106195
- Gyamerah, S. A., & Gil-Alana, L. A. (2023). A multivariate causality analysis of CO2 emission, electricity consumption, and economic growth: Evidence from Western and Central Africa. *Heliyon*, 9(1): e12858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e12858
- Jebabli, I., Lahiani, A., & Mefteh-Wali, S. (2023). Quantile connectedness between CO2 emissions and

economic growth in G7 countries. *Resources Policy*, *81*, 103348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.103348

- Leal, P. H., & Marques, A. C. (2022). The evolution of the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis assessment: A literature review under a critical analysis perspective. *Heliyon*, 8(11), e11521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11521
- Li, X., Wang, F., Al-Razgan, M., Awwad, E., Abduvaxitovna, S., Li, Z., & Li, J. (2023). Race to environmental sustainability: Can structural change, economic expansion and natural resource consumption effect environmental sustainability? A novel dynamic ARDL simulations approach. *Resources Policy*, 86, 104044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104044
- Li, Y., Zuo, Z., Cheng, Y., Cheng, J., & Xu, D. (2023). Towards a decoupling between regional economic growth and CO2 emissions in China's mining industry: A comprehensive decomposition framework. *Resources Policy*, 80, 103271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103271
- Liu, C., Lyu, W., Zang, X., Zheng, F., Zhao, W., Xu, Q., & Lu, J. (2023). Exploring the factors effecting on carbon emissions in each province in China: A comprehensive study based on symbolic regression, LMDI and Tapio models. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(37), 87071-87086. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28608-4
- Liu, X., Zhong, S., & Yang, M. (2022). Study on the decoupling relationship of energy-related CO2 emissions and economic growth in China: using the new two-dimensional decoupling model. *Ecological Indicators*, 143, 109405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109405
- Magazzino, C. (2019). Ecological footprint, electricity consumption, and economic growth in China: Geopolitical risk and natural resources governance. *Empirical Economics*, 66(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-023-02460-4
- Mardani, A., Streimikiene, D., Cavallaro, F., Loganathan, M., & Khoshnoudi, M. (2019). Carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions and economic growth: A systematic review of two decades of research from 1995 to 2017. Science of the total environment, 649, 31-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.229
- Onofrei, M., Vatamanu, A. F., & Cigu, E. (2022). The relationship between economic growth and CO2 emissions in EU countries: A cointegration analysis. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 934885. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.934885
- Ozturk, I., Aslan, A., & Altinoz, B. (2022). Investigating the nexus between CO2 emissions, economic growth, energy consumption and pilgrimage tourism in Saudi Arabia. Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja, 35(1), 3083-3098. https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2021.1985577
- Song, Y., Sun, J., Zhang, M., & Su, B. (2020). Using the Tapio-Z decoupling model to evaluate the decoupling status of China's CO2 emissions at provincial level and its dynamic trend. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 52, 120-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2019.10.004
- Sufyanullah, K., Ahmad, K. A., & Ali, M. A. S. (2022). Does emission of carbon dioxide is impacted

Published by SCHOLINK INC.

by urbanization? An empirical study of urbanization, energy consumption, economic growth and carbon emissions-Using ARDL bound testing approach. *Energy Policy*, *164*, 112908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.112908

Uchiyama, K., & Uchiyama, K. (2016). Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis. Springer Japan.

- Wang, H., Ang, B. W., & Su, B. (2017). A multi-region structural decomposition analysis of global CO2 emission intensity. *Ecological Economics*, 142, 163-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.023
- Wang, J., Rickman, D. S., & Yu, Y. (2022). Dynamics between global value chain participation, CO2 emissions, and economic growth: Evidence from a panel vector autoregression model. *Energy Economics*, 109, 105965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.105965
- Wang, M., Zhang, X., Feng, C., & Wen, S. (2024). Towards a sustainable construction: A newly proposed Tapio-global meta-frontier DEA framework for decoupling China's construction economy from its carbon emissions. *Science of The Total Environment*, 929, 172727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.172727
- Xie, L., Mu, X., Lu, K., Hu. D., & Hu, G. (2023). The time-varying relationship between CO2 emissions, heterogeneous energy consumption, and economic growth in China. *Environment, development and sustainability*, 25(8), 7769-7793. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02371-x
- Yang, B., Wang, Y., Dunya, R., & Yuan, S. (2023). Study on the driving factors and decoupling effect of carbon emission from pig farming in China—based on LMDI and Tapio model. *Environment*, *Development and Sustainability*, 1-31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-04007-0
- You, W., Zhang, Y., & Lee, C. (2022). The dynamic impact of economic growth and economic complexity on CO2 emissions: An advanced panel data estimation. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 73, 112-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2021.11.004
- Zhang, Z., & Sharifi, A. (2024). Analysis of decoupling between CO2 emissions and economic growth in China's provincial capital cities: A Tapio model approach. Urban Climate, 55, 101885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2024.101885