## Original Paper

## Research on Quality Evaluation of Climate Information

## Disclosure in Cement Industry

Yue Ma<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou City, Gansu Province, China

| Received: September 09, 2024 | Accepted: October 11, 2024  | Online Published: October 30, 2024 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| doi:10.22158/ibes.v6n5p181   | URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2 | 22158/ibes.v6n5p181                |

#### Abstract

This paper discusses the current situation and framework of climate information disclosure through the review of domestic and foreign literature. Based on relevant international guidelines and Chinese policies and regulations, the evaluation system is constructed from four dimensions of governance, strategy, risk management, index and target report by using analytic hierarchy process (AHP) and content analysis method. Taking the content of climate-related information disclosure of Tianshan Stock in 2023 as an example, this paper studies the gap between domestic cement enterprises in their climate information disclosure and the global benchmark, and puts forward relevant countermeasures and suggestions to promote the improvement of the quality of climate information disclosure of listed companies in the cement industry.

#### Keywords

Climate Information Disclosure, IFRS S2, Quality Evaluation System

#### 1. Introduction

Climate change has become the most serious challenge to global sustainable development. Faced with the huge risks brought by climate change, enterprises should strengthen the disclosure of climate information and make investors understand their measures in dealing with climate change. In order to regulate the disclosure of climate information, domestic and foreign regulators have formulated corresponding standard frameworks. With the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) officially releasing the final version of the climate-related disclosure rules in March 2024, the international climate-related disclosure has presented a three-way situation between the EU, the US and the International Sustainable Development Standards Board (ISSB). In May 2024, China's Ministry of Finance issued the "Guidelines on Sustainable Disclosure for Enterprises - Basic Guidelines (Draft for Comment)", proposing to develop sustainable disclosure guidelines in line with China's national

conditions. Cement is an important basic raw material for national economic construction. Due to its production process and technological characteristics, the total carbon emission and carbon emission intensity are very large. With the promulgation of the "Carbon Peak Implementation Plan for Building Materials Industry", climate-related information disclosure, including carbon emission information disclosure, will be the focus of long-term policy attention, and adequate climate information disclosure will help promote low-carbon transformation and green development of enterprises. Therefore, listed companies in the cement industry should do a detailed and proactive job of climate information disclosure, and actively implement the requirements of carbon peak and carbon neutrality.

#### 2. Climate Information Disclosure Quality Evaluation System Construction

The issuance of international sustainable disclosure standards promotes the disclosure of climate information by enterprises. However, due to the lack of unified climate information disclosure standards, the disclosure of climate information among listed companies in the cement industry is uneven and cannot be compared. On the basis of sorting out the existing achievements and combining the characteristics of the industry, this paper constructs the quality evaluation system of climate information disclosure of listed companies in the cement industry.

#### 2.1 Basis and Method of Index Selection

Based on the International Standard for Sustainable Disclosure in Financial Reporting No. 2 --Climate-Related Disclosure (IFRS S2) issued by the International Sustainable Standards Board (ISSB) and combined with the current production and operation characteristics of the cement industry, this paper preliminarily selected the indicators of the quality evaluation system for climate-related information disclosure of listed companies in the cement industry. Then Delphi method and analytic hierarchy process are used to collect and organize the data, and finally the evaluation index system of this paper is obtained.

#### 2.2 Establishment of Evaluation Index System for Climate Information Disclosure

The evaluation system of this paper is divided into three levels. The first level takes the quality evaluation of climate information disclosure of listed companies in cement industry as the general target level. The second layer is the criterion layer, which contains four dimensions: "governance", "development strategy", "risk management" and "indicators and objectives". The third layer is the index layer, including a number of evaluation indicators that can reflect the climate information disclosure performance of listed companies in the cement industry.

| Criterio<br>n level | riterio<br>Primary index Secondary index<br>level |                      | Nature      | Index interpretation                      |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|---|
| Govern              |   | Governance structure | qualitative | An organization or person responsible for |

| Tabl | e 1. | Content | Frameworl | k of | Climate 1 | [ni | format | ion | Di | scl | osui | re |
|------|------|---------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|----|-----|------|----|
|------|------|---------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|----|-----|------|----|

|          | Governance          |   |                          | monitoring climate-related risks and             |  |  |
|----------|---------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
|          | structure           |   |                          | opportunities                                    |  |  |
|          |                     | Member's professional                   | qualitativa              | Members possess appropriate skills and           |  |  |
|          |                     | background                              | quantative               | knowledge  |  |  |
|          |                     | Accountability of                       | 1:4-4:                   | Reflect responsibility for climate-related risks |  |  |
|          |                     | governance institutions                 | quantative               | and opportunities                                |  |  |
|          |                     | Organizational procedure                | qualitative              | How and how often agencies communicate           |  |  |
|          |                     |   | quantative               | climate-related risks and opportunities          |  |  |
|          |                     | Management role                         | qualitative              | Whether management roles are delegated to        |  |  |
|          |                     | Wanagement Tole                         | quantative               | specific management positions                    |  |  |
|          | Risk                | Correlation definition                  | qualitative              | Rationalize climate-related risks and            |  |  |
|          | opportunity         | description                             | opportunities            |  |  |  |
|          |                     | Impact on the business                  | quantify/                | Describe the current and expected impacts of     |  |  |
|          | Business model      | model                                   | qualitative              | climate-related risks on a company's business    |  |  |
|          |                     |   | 1                        | model  |  |  |
|          |                     |   | quantify/<br>qualitative | Current and expected direct and indirect         |  |  |
|          | Strategic           | Climate response                        |                          | mitigation and adaptation efforts; Any           |  |  |
|          | decision            | information                             |                          | climate-related transition plans that the entity |  |  |
| Strategy |                     |   |                          | has developed                                    |  |  |
|          | Financial<br>impact | Financial implications of               | quantify                 | The impact of climate-related risks and          |  |  |
|          |                     | climate-related risks and opportunities |                          | opportunities on the company's financial         |  |  |
|          |                     |   |                          | position and financial performance in the        |  |  |
|          |                     |   |                          | short, medium and long term                      |  |  |
|          |                     |   |                          | The ability of companies to adapt their          |  |  |
|          | Climate             | Assessment of climate                   | qualitative              | strategies and business models to climate        |  |  |
|          | adaptability        | resilience                              |                          | change; Whether climate-related scenario         |  |  |
|          |                     | ~~~                                     |                          | analysis was used                                |  |  |
|          |                     | Climate risk identification             | qualitative              | Identify climate-related risks                   |  |  |
| Risk     |                     | Climate risk assessment                 | qualitative              | How can the nature and magnitude of these        |  |  |
| managem  | Identification      |   |                          | risks be assessed                                |  |  |
| ent      | and evaluation      | prioritize                              | qualitative              | How to prioritize climate-related risks          |  |  |
|          |                     | Risk management process                 | qualitative              | Processes for monitoring climate-related risks   |  |  |
|          |                     |   |                          | and opportunities                                |  |  |
|          | Greenhouse gas      | Total emission                          | quantify                 | Includes: Scope 1, Scope 2, Scope 3              |  |  |
|          | emission            |   |                          | greenhouse gas emissions                         |  |  |
|          |                     | Measurement method                      | qualitative              | Disclose its methods for measuring               |  |  |

|       |                 |                             |             | greenhouse gas emissions                      |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---|
|       |                 | Emission reduction          | qualitativa | Corresponding measures to reduce greenhouse   |
| Index |                 | management                  | quantative  | gas emissions                                 |
| and   | Impact on       |                             |             | The number and percentage of assets or        |
| goal  | business        | impacts of climate change   | quantify    | business activities vulnerable to             |
|       | activities      | on business activities      |             | climate-related risks and opportunities       |
|       |                 |                             |             | The amount of capital expenditures, financing |
|       | Capital         | Climate-related capital     | quantify/   | or investments made to address climate        |
|       | deployment      |                             | qualitative | change; Explain if and how the company        |
|       |                 | Incentive policy            |             | applies the carbon price                      |
|       | Executive       | In continue of lines        |             | Whether climate-related considerations are    |
|       | compensation    | incentive policy            | quantity    | incorporated into executive compensation      |
|       |                 | Set e el                    |             | Indicators used to monitor progress towards   |
|       |                 | Set goal                    | quantative  | goals   |
|       | Climate-related | The scope of application of |             | Any modifications to the objectives and an    |
|       | objectives      | the target                  | quantative  | explanation of those modifications            |
|       |                 | <u></u>                     |             | Emission plan, reduction target and related   |
|       |                 | Status of performance       | quantative  | performance analysis                          |

#### 2.3 Evaluation System Index Weight Determination

In this paper, a questionnaire was designed to select the indicators of the climate information disclosure quality evaluation system of listed companies in the cement industry, and the questionnaires were sent to ten experts, and then the collected questionnaires were sorted out to obtain the judgment matrix. Secondly, the consistency test analysis is carried out to ensure the harmony and consistency of the indicators as a whole. If the consistency test passes, it is valid data; otherwise, the judgment matrix needs to be repaired. Finally, the analytic hierarchy process is used to calculate the index weights, and the weight table of the cement industry climate information disclosure quality evaluation system is finally obtained, as shown in Table 2:

# Table 2. Weight Table of Indicators of Climate-Related Information Disclosure QualityEvaluation System

| Criterio<br>n level | Weight | Primary<br>index | Weight | Secondary index | Weight  | Comprehe<br>nsive<br>weight |
|---------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Govern              | 22.04% |                  | 100%   | Governance      | 13 640% | 2 01%                       |
|                     |        | Governance       | 10070  | structure       | 13.0470 | 5.0170                      |

|                |               | structure               |        | Member's<br>professional   | 11.67% | 2.57% |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--------|-------|
|                |               |                         |        | background   |        |       |
|                |               |                         |        | Accountability of<br>governance<br>institutions                            | 19.14% | 4.22% |
|                |               |                         |        | Organizational procedure   | 35.77% | 7.88% |
|                |               |                         |        | Management role  | 19.78% | 4.36% |
|                |               | Risk<br>opportunity     | 24.26% | Correlation<br>definition<br>description                                   | 24.26% | 6.86% |
|                |               | Business<br>model       | 5.75%  | Impact on the business model   | 5.75%  | 1.63% |
| Strategy       | 28.29%        | Strategic decision      | 12.70% | Climate response information   | 12.70% | 3.59% |
|                |               | Financial<br>impact     | 31.52% | Financial<br>implications of<br>climate-related risks<br>and opportunities | 31.52% | 8.92% |
|                |               | Climate<br>adaptability | 25.78% | Assessment of climate resilience   | 25.78% | 7.29% |
|                |               |                         |        | Climate risk identification  | 25.40% | 3.21% |
| Risk<br>manage | 12.63%        | Identification and      | 100%   | Climate risk<br>assessment   | 51.07% | 6.45% |
| ment           |               | evaluation              |        | prioritize   | 9.68%  | 1.22% |
|                |               |                         |        | Risk management process  | 13.85% | 1.75% |
|                |               |                         |        | Total emission   | 41.79% | 2.80% |
|                | Greenhouse 18 |                         | 18.10% | Measurement<br>method  | 32.91% | 2.21% |
|                |               | gas emission            |        | Emission reduction management  | 25.30% | 1.70% |
| Index<br>and   | 37.05%        | Impact on<br>business   | 6.50%  | Impacts of climate change on business                                      | 6.50%  | 2.41% |

| goal | activities    | act    | activities       |         |        |  |
|------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|--|
|      | Comital       | Cli    | mate-related     |         |        |  |
|      | 11.74 11.74   | 1% cap | ital allocation  | 11.74%  | 4.35%  |  |
|      | deployment    | Inc    | Incentive policy |         |        |  |
|      | Executive     | 70/    |                  | 16 (70/ | 6.18%  |  |
|      | compensation  | /% Inc | entive policy    | 10.0/%  |        |  |
|      |               | Set    | goal             | 18.43%  | 3.21%  |  |
|      |               | Th     | e scope of       |         |        |  |
|      | Climate-relat | app    | olication of the | 25.53%  | 4.45%  |  |
|      | ed objectives | 1% tar | get              |         |        |  |
|      |               | Sta    | tus of           | 56.040/ | 0.7(0/ |  |
|      |               | per    | formance         | 30.04%  | 9./0%  |  |

#### 3. Application of Climate Information Disclosure Quality Evaluation Index System

#### 3.1 Company Profile and Grading Criteria

On the basis of calculating the comprehensive weight, Tianshan Stock, a representative enterprise in cement industry with perfect climate information disclosure and leading industry ranking, was selected to conduct a comprehensive evaluation on the quality of its climate information disclosure. Tianshan was listed on Shenzhen Stock Exchange in 1999 (stock code: 000877). Its business scope covers the manufacturing and sales of cement, clinker and aggregate, and it is the largest cement company in China with complete industrial chain and nationwide layout. This paper adopts "content analysis method" to analyze the 2023 ESG report of Tianshan Stock, extracts the disclosure contents of each indicator, assigns corresponding values to the indicators containing qualitative disclosure, and obtains the initial total sample. The specific scoring standard is shown in Table 3. Finally, the scores and weights of the four dimensions of governance, strategy, risk management, indicators and objectives were multiplied and then added to obtain the comprehensive scores of climate information disclosure quality of Tianshan Stock and assign its evaluation level.

| Table 3 | . Scoring | Standards |
|---------|-----------|-----------|

| Mark | Grading scale | Scoring basis                          | Corresponding score |
|------|---------------|--|---------------------|
| 4    | outstanding   | The disclosure is detailed             | 100                 |
| 3    | good          | Only simple description                | 85                  |
| 2    | normal        | Only relevant indicators are mentioned | 70                  |
| 1    | range         | No relevant information was disclosed  | 55                  |

### 3.2 Climate Information Disclosure Quality Evaluation Results of Tianshan Stock

By collecting the specific situation of climate information disclosure of Tianshan Stock in 2023, the quality evaluation system constructed in this paper is used to score the quality of its climate information disclosure, and the results are shown in Table 4.

| Critaria | <b>Primary</b> |                             | Correspo |        |             |  |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|-------------|--|
| n lovel  |                | Secondary index             | nding    | Weight | Final score |  |
| II ICVCI | mutx           |                             | score    |        |             |  |
|          |                | Governance structure        | 100      | 3.01%  | 3.01        |  |
|          |                | Member's professional       | 5.5      | 2.570/ | 1 41        |  |
|          | Governance     | background                  | 22       | 2.5/%  | 1.41        |  |
| Govern   | structure      | Accountability of           | 100      | 1 22%  | 1 22        |  |
|          | siructure      | governance institutions     | 100      | 4.2270 | 4.22        |  |
|          |                | Organizational procedure    | 55       | 7.88%  | 4.33        |  |
|          |                | Management role             | 85       | 4.36%  | 3.71        |  |
|          | Risk           | Correlation definition      | 85       | 6 86%  | 5 83        |  |
|          | opportunity    | description                 | 85       | 0.8070 | 5.85        |  |
|          | Business       | Impact on the business      | 85       | 1 (20/ | 1 30        |  |
|          | model          | model                       | 05       | 1.0370 | 1.39        |  |
|          | Strategic      | Climate response            | 70       | 3 50%  | 2 51        |  |
| Strategy | decision       | information                 | 70       | 5.5770 |             |  |
|          | Financial      | Financial implications of   |          |        |             |  |
|          | impact         | climate-related risks and   | 70       | 8.92%  | 6.24        |  |
|          | mpaor          | opportunities               |          |        |             |  |
|          | Climate        | Assessment of climate       | 55       | 7.29%  | 4.01        |  |
|          | adaptability   | resilience                  |          | ,,,    |             |  |
| Rick     | Identification | Climate risk identification | 70       | 3.21%  | 2.25        |  |
| manage   | and            | Climate risk assessment     | 55       | 6.45%  | 3.55        |  |
| ment     | evaluation     | prioritize                  | 55       | 1.22%  | 0.67        |  |
| ment     | evaluation     | Risk management process     | 85       | 1.75%  | 1.49        |  |
|          |                | Total emission              | 70       | 2.80%  | 1.96        |  |
|          | Greenhouse     | Measurement method          | 55       | 2.21%  | 1.22        |  |
|          | gas emission   | Emission reduction          | 85       | 1 70%  | 1.45        |  |
|          |                | management                  | 05       | 1./0/0 | 1.70        |  |

Table 4. Final Score of Climate Information Disclosure Quality of Tianshan Stock in 2023

| Index<br>and | Impactonbusiness-activities-   | Impacts of climate change<br>on business activities       | 55  | 2.41% | 1.33  |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---|-----|-------|-------|
| goal         | Capital<br>deployment          | Climate-related capital<br>allocation<br>Incentive policy | 70  | 4.35% | 3.05  |
|              | Executive<br>compensatio<br>n  | Incentive policy  | 55  | 6.18% | 3.40  |
|              |                                | Set goal  | 100 | 3.21% | 3.21  |
|              | Climate-relat<br>ed objectives | The scope of application of the target                    | 55  | 4.45% | 2.45  |
|              |                                | Status of performance                                     | 100 | 9.76% | 9.76  |
| Total        |                                |   | /   | 100%  | 72.42 |

According to the evaluation grade table, the evaluation grade of climate information disclosure quality of Tianshan Stock in 2023 is "good".

#### 4. Conclusions and Suggestions

#### 4.1 Conclusion

First, the analysis of specific indicators is lacking. From the above analysis, it can be found that there are deficiencies in the specific indicators of climate information disclosure of Tianshan Stock, such as the professional background of the members of the governance body and the operation procedure of the governance body that are not disclosed in the governance dimension, the climate-related scenario analysis in the strategic dimension, the identification and evaluation of the risk management dimension, and the indicators and objectives dimension. Failure to incorporate climate-related considerations into executive compensation.

Second, there is a lack of attention to financial implications in the disclosures. While Tianshan has identified climate-related risks and opportunities in accordance with the TCFD framework, there is a lack of data in the disclosure process that specifically supports the financial impact of climate change. The report provides only examples of individual subsidiaries' investments in climate change projects and does not disclose in detail the expected impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on their financial position, performance and cash flows in the short, medium and long term.

#### 4.2 Suggestions

First, improve the framework for climate information disclosure. Tianshan should improve the framework of climate information disclosure as soon as possible and provide more comprehensive and

specific disclosure index information. ISSB is a comprehensive framework of international climate information disclosure, which is further optimized on the basis of TCFD. Therefore, this paper suggests that Tianshan adopt IFRS S2 issued by ISSB as the disclosure framework and improve specific disclosure indicators such as "climate-related scenario analysis".

Second, strengthen the focus on the financial implications of climate information. Assessing the financial impact of climate-related risks and opportunities remains subject to unclear and unquantifiable risk attribution. However, if most companies continue to assess and disclose these impacts in a qualitative manner, the effectiveness of this information, especially its value to stakeholders, will be greatly reduced. In order to overcome this dilemma, companies should adhere to the principle of cost-effectiveness, refer to the ISSB standards for potential financial impact assessment methods, while taking full account of their own skills, capabilities, and decide whether to use quantitative or qualitative analysis.

Although the evaluation results of this paper only take the data of Tianshan Stock in 2023 as the sample, it does not affect the wide use of the climate information disclosure quality evaluation system established in this paper. It is expected that the research in this paper can help listed companies in the cement industry to improve the quality of climate information disclosure and accelerate the realization of green transformation and high-quality development.

#### References

EFRAG. (2023). ESRS E1 Climate Change. Retrieved from http://www.efrag.org
ISSB. (2023). IFRS S2 Climate-related Disclosures. Retrieved from http://www.ifrs.org, 2023
SEC. (2024). The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors. Retrieved from http://www.sec.gov