## **Original Paper**

# Dose-effect Meta-analysis of Tai Chi Exercise in Improving

# Pain Symptoms of Knee Osteoarthritis

Qilu Fu<sup>1</sup> & Fang Liu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Nursing, Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang, Shaanxi Province, 712046, China

Received: January 22, 2025	Accepted: February 17, 2025	Online Published: February 20, 2025
doi:10.22158/rhs.v10n1p26	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2	22158/rhs.v10n1p26

## Abstract

Objective: To investigate the dose-effect relationship between the elements of Tai Chi exercise prescription and pain improvement in patients with knee osteoarthritis, aiming to provide evidence-based evidence for patients to provide more accurate Tai Chi intervention programs. Methods: Wanfang, CNKI, VIP, SinoMed, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library were searched for randomized controlled trials of Tai Chi exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis in August 2024. Data were screened, evaluated, and extracted independently, and meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3 software. Results: A total of 21 studies involving 1110 patients with KOA were included in this study. The results of meta-analysis showed that the effect of pain improvement was the strongest when the single exercise time was  $21 \sim 40$ min (SMD was -0.79, P<0.05), and the effect of pain symptom improvement in patients with knee osteoarthritis would be decreased if the exercise time was too long or too short. The improvement effect of pain symptoms was strongest when the exercise frequency was 5 times a week (SMD was -1.09, P<0.05), and when the exercise cycle was 12 weeks, the pain symptom improvement effect was strongest (SMD was -0.7, P < 0.05), and then the pain improvement effect decreased with the increase of exercise cycle. Conclusion: Patients with knee osteoarthritis are recommended to perform Tai Chi exercise for 21~40min 5 times a week for 12 weeks, and the maximum benefit of pain improvement can be obtained.

## Keywords

Tai Chi, knee osteoarthritis, Pain, Dose-effect

## 1. Introduction

Knee Osteoarthritis (KOA) is a chronic degenerative joint disease characterized by high disability and morbidity rates <sup>[1]</sup>. The pain symptoms caused by KOA severely impact patients' quality of life <sup>[2]</sup>,

serving as a significant contributor to kinesiophobia and increasing the risk of depression among patients<sup>[2]</sup>. Current treatments for alleviating pain symptoms in KOA patients include pharmacological therapy and exercise therapy <sup>[3]</sup>. However, long-term pharmacological treatment in KOA patients may lead to various adverse reactions such as congestive heart failure and hypertension, and the development of drug resistance is highly probable with prolonged use <sup>[4]</sup>. The American College of Rheumatology recommends exercise therapy, which has no adverse side effects, as a first-line conservative treatment for KOA patients <sup>[5]</sup>. Among these, Tai Chi, a traditional Chinese fitness exercise, has been proven to effectively alleviate pain symptoms in KOA patients <sup>[6]</sup>. and is recommended by multiple societies such as the Chinese Orthopaedic Association<sup>[7]</sup> and the Italian Society for Rheumatology <sup>[8]</sup> for improving pain in KOA patients. Compared to other types of exercise, Tai Chi offers advantages such as suitable intensity, easy control of exercise volume <sup>[9]</sup>, and ease of long-term adherence <sup>[10]</sup>. However, the optimal exercise prescription for Tai Chi to improve pain symptoms in KOA patients has not yet been established. Therefore, this study systematically reviewed randomized controlled trials related to Tai Chi exercise in KOA patients, exploring the dose-effect relationship between Tai Chi exercise and pain improvement in patients, aiming to provide a basis for more precise Tai Chi intervention programs for patients.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Literature Search Strategy

Using "knee osteoarthritis/knee arthralgia" and "Tai Chi/Taiji quan" as Chinese search terms, and "Knee osteoarthritis/KOA" and "Taiji/Taiji quan" as English search terms, a systematic search was conducted in Chinese and English databases such as CNKI, VIP, Wanfang, China Biology Medicine, PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library for literature related to Tai Chi interventions in KOA patients. The search period covered from the inception of each database to August 2024.

#### 2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria: 1. Study subjects: Patients with knee osteoarthritis; 2. Intervention measures: The intervention group practiced Tai Chi, while the control group received non-exercise interventions such as health education; 3. Outcome indicators: Pain intensity, measurable using scales such as the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and the Arthritis Impact Measurement Scales (AIMS); 4. Study type: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Exclusion Criteria: 1. Duplicate publications; 2. Literature with unavailable full text.

#### 2.3 Literature Screening and Data Extraction

Two researchers trained in evidence-based medicine independently conducted literature screening and data extraction, with cross-verification. In cases of disagreement, a third researcher was involved to make a final decision.

### 2.4 Bias Risk Assessment of Included Studies

The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in RCTs was used to evaluate the bias risk

of the included studies.

### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

The included studies were analyzed using RevMan 5.3 software. Heterogeneity testing was performed on the data. If there was no significant heterogeneity (I  $\leq$ 50%, P>0.1), a fixed-effects model was used; if there was significant heterogeneity (I $\geq$ 50%, P $\leq$ 0.1), a random-effects model was applied. The outcome indicators of this study were continuous variables measured using different tools, represented by the standard mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The SMD values were interpreted as large (SMD $\geq$ 0.8), moderate (0.5-<0.8), small (0.2-<0.5), and minimal (<0.2) effects [11]. Funnel plot analysis was used to test for publication bias, and sensitivity analysis was conducted using the one-by-one exclusion method.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Literature Screening Process and Results

Initially, 544 articles were retrieved, and ultimately, 21 RCTs were included in the study. The specific literature screening process is shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1. Flowchart of Literature Screening** 

## 3.2 Basic Characteristics of Included Literature

A total of 21 articles published between 2000 and 2022 were included, encompassing 1,110 patients with knee osteoarthritis. The research subjects were primarily concentrated in the 60-80 age group. Regarding the measurement of pain as an outcome variable, 14 articles utilized the pain subscale of the WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index), 3 articles used the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), 2 articles employed the pain subscale of the KOOS (Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score), and 2 articles used the Arthritis Impact Measurement Scales (AIMS). The remaining basic characteristics are presented in Table 1.

				Num	ber of			Intervent	ion M	easures			
				Case	s	Year (x	Year (x±s)		e Inter	vention		Outc	
			Diag	Cuse							Intervention	ome	Literat
		Countr	nosti	Co	Inter	Control	Intervent	Duratio	Exer	Dura	Measures	Mea	ure
Author	Year	Countr	с	ntr	venti	Group	ion	n of	cise	tion	(for the	sure	Quality
		у	Crite	ol	on		Group	Single	Freq	of	Control	ment	Evaluat
			ria	Gr	Gro			Exercis	uenc	Inter	Group)	Tool	ion
				ou	up			e	у	venti		s	
				р				Session		on			
Hartman <sup>[12]</sup>	2000	UAS	X-ra	18	15	68.6±7.	67 5 46 1	60min	2/we	12we	Health		р
Hartinan	2000	UAS	у	10		9	07.5±0.1	oomm	ek	ek	Education	Ð	Б
a [13]	2002	South			21	64.8±6.		<b>2</b> 0 1	3/we	12we	Health		
Song	2003 Korea	Korea	ACR	22		0	62.5±5.6	20min	ek	ek	Education	U I	В
		7 USA	X-ra			71.6±6.			3/we	10we	Attention	~	
Adler <sup>[14]</sup>	2007		у	8	6	8	72.8±5.4	15min	ek	ek	Training	(1)	В
		007 USA				$70.89 \pm$	68.89±8.		3/we	12we	Health		
Brism ée <sup>[15]</sup>	2007		ACR	18	13	9.8	9	40min	ek	ek	Education	2	В
		Austral			41	70.8+			2/we	12we	Health	1) B	
Fransen <sup>[16]</sup>	2007		ACR	56		63	69.6±6.1	60min	ek	ek	Education		В
		South	V ro			76.00+	74.96+6		2/11/10	12000	Health		
Lea <sup>.[17]</sup>	2008	Kanaa	A-1a	22	24	70.00±	74.90 ±0.	60min	2/ we	12wc	Education	1	В
		Korea	у			5.88	/1		ек	ек	Education		
Song <sup>[18]</sup>	2009	South	ACR	30	39	62.36±	59.94±7.	60min	2/we	6mo	Health	1	В
		Korea				7.56	83		ek	nth	Education		
Wang <sup>[19]</sup>	2009	USA	ACR	20	20	63±8.1	68±7.0	60min	2/we	12we	Attention	2	В
C									ek	ek	Training		
Tsai <sup>[20]</sup>	2013	TIAS	X-ra	28	27	$78.89 \pm$	78.93±8.	30min	3/we	20we	Health		B
Tsat <sup>120</sup> 2013	2013	UAD	у	28	21	6.91	03	Johim	ek	ek	Education		Ъ

#### **Table 1. Basic Characteristics of Included Literature**

7bu <sup>[21]</sup> 20	2016	China	ACD	22	22	$64.61\pm$	64.53±3.	60min	3/we	24we	Health		р
Znu	2016	China	ACK	23	23	3.40	43	oomin	ek	ek	Education	(I)	В
[22]	2017		X-ra	22	22	$64.33\pm$	64.28±7.	40 :		12we	Routine		D
Znang	Zhang <sup>122</sup> 2017	China	у	33	32	6.62	53	40min		ek	Care	(1)	В
	2015					64.6±3.		<i>co i</i>	3/we	24we	Health		
Zhu <sup>[23]</sup>	2017	China	ACR	23	23	4	64.5±3.4 60	60min	ek	ek	Education		В
- [24]						59.9±1	60.9±10.		2/we	12we	Physical	0	
Lee <sup>[24]</sup>	2017	USA	ACR	41	34	0.1	8	60min	ek	ek	Therapy	(1)	В
1251						$58.34\pm$	56.97±7.		5/we	12we	Health	~	
Hu <sup>[25]</sup>	<sup>[25]</sup> 2018 Chi	China	ARA	28	26	7.66	75	60min	ek	ek	Education	(1)	В
10.01			X-ra			$66.32\pm$	65.54±3.		3/we	24we	Health	~	
Hu XY <sup>[26]</sup>	2020	China	у	52	40	4.16	59	60min	ek	ek	Education	(2)	В
(27)		China	X-ra			63.4±4.	64.7±6.1		3/we	36we	Health	1)	_
Kang <sup>[27]</sup>	2022		у	12	15	6		60min	ek	ek	Education		В
						65.8±6.	66.0±5.0			16we	No		
LI <sup>[28]</sup>	2019	China	ACR	30	30	7		60min		ek	Intervention	1	В
									5/we	12we	Health		
Liu <sup>[29]</sup>	2019	China	ACR	28	24			60min	ek	ek	Education	3	В
			X-ra			69.6±4.			5/we	12we			
Li <sup>[30]</sup> 20	2019	China	у	54	53	3	68.5±3.5	68.5±3.5 45min	ek	ek		1	В
						64.15±	64.15±8.		3/we	12we	Health		
song <sup>[31]</sup>	2022	China	ACR	20	20	8.56	56	60min	ek	ek	Education	1	В

Outcome Measures: ① Pain Subscale of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) ② Visual Analog Scale (VAS) ③ Pain Subscale of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) ④ Arthritis Impact Measurement Scales (AIMS)

## 3.3 Quality Evaluation of Included Literature

All 21 articles included in this study were rated as Grade B. The results of the bias risk assessment are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Quality Evaluation of the Included Literature

#### 3.4 Results of Meta-Analysis

In this study, the parameters of Tai Chi exercise were categorized to conduct subgroup analyses, aiming to explore the optimal dosage effects of various elements of Tai Chi exercise on pain improvement in patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA).

3.4.1 Effect of Single Exercise Duration on Pain Improvement in KOA Patients

Based on 21 articles that reported the duration of a single Tai Chi session, the duration was categorized into three dosage groups: 15-20 minutes, 21-40 minutes, and 60 minutes. The I<sup>2</sup> values within each subgroup were 0%, 39%, and 44%, respectively. Since all I<sup>2</sup> values were <50%, a fixed-effects model was adopted. The results showed that pain symptoms improved in KOA patients after interventions with Tai Chi exercise of different single durations. Specifically, the standardized mean difference (SMD) values for single exercise durations of 15-20 minutes, 21-40 minutes, and 60 minutes were -0.48, -0.79, and -0.70, respectively, all of which were statistically significant (P < 0.05). The greatest improvement in pain symptoms was observed when the single exercise duration was 21-40 minutes. See Figure 3 for details.

	Experimental		с	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.2.1 15 -20min									
Adler PA 2007	-47.9	65.101	18	-24	124.13	15	3.0%	-0.24 [-0.93, 0.45]	
Song, R 2003	-2.45	3.9	22	0.61	5.1	21	3.8%	-0.66 [-1.28, -0.05]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			40			36	6.8%	-0.48 [-0.94, -0.02]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.80, df=	1 (P = 0.3)	87); I² =	0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.03 (	P = 0.04)							
2 2 2 2 2 4 2									
2.2.2 20-40									
Brismee, J. M 2007	-2.12	6.4082	18	-1.34	4.2861	13	2.8%	-0.13 [-0.85, 0.58]	
li liangtao 2019	-83	76.725	30	-/	88.443	30	5.1%	-0.91 [-1.44, -0.37]	
Tsai, P. F 2013	-2.6	2.54	28	-1.02	1.68	27	4.8%	-0.72 [-1.27, -0.17]	
Zhang Hu 2017	-5.45	2.1318	33	-2.88	2.4418	32	5.2%	-1.11 [-1.63, -0.58]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			109			102	17.9%	-0.79 [-1.08, -0.51]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi* =	4.89, df =	3 (P = 0.1	8); 1*=	39%					
lest for overall effect:	Z = 5.49 (	P < 0.000	U1)						
2.2.3 60min									
Fransen, M 2007	-9.6	15.5	56	-4.4	13.31	41	8.7%	-0.35 [-0.76, 0.05]	
Hartman 2000	-1.1	1.9519	18	0.3	1.5524	15	2.8%	-0.77 [-1.48, -0.05]	
Hu XY vas 2020	-1.69	2.8582	52	-0.2	1.9137	40	8.1%	-0.59 [-1.01, -0.17]	
Kang, N. 2022	-2.09	3.8887	12	-0.66	3.3857	15	2.4%	-0.38 [-1.15, 0.38]	-+
Lee, A 2017	-188.82	113.52	41	-138.68	115.6	34	6.8%	-0.43 [-0.89, 0.03]	
Lee.H.A 2006	-4.89	4.0551	18	2.37	5.2703	16	2.4%	-1.52 [-2.30, -0.74]	
Lee HJ 2009	-2.2	4.1037	29	-0.2	3.5595	15	3.6%	-0.50 [-1.13, 0.13]	
Lee H Y 2008	-2.41	4.1	22	1.29	3.44	24	3.8%	-0.96 [-1.58, -0.35]	
Li Jingya 2019	-83	76.725	30	-7	88.443	30	5.1%	-0.91 [-1.44, -0.37]	
Liu J 2019	-10.22	9.4	28	-0.9	3.24	24	4.0%	-1.27 [-1.87, -0.67]	
Song, J 2022	-10.22	9.4	28	-0.9	3.24	24	4.0%	-1.27 [-1.87, -0.67]	
Song R 2009	-1.36	3.38	30	-0.48	2.53	39	6.3%	-0.30 [-0.78, 0.18]	
Wang, C 2009	-157.3	97.99	20	-38.45	97.99	20	3.1%	-1.19 [-1.87, -0.51]	
Wortley, M 2013	-28	123.41	12	-13	91.411	6	1.5%	-0.12 [-1.11, 0.86]	
Zhu, Q 2016	-3.31	4.3164	23	-0.28	5.4466	23	4.1%	-0.61 [-1.20, -0.01]	
Zhu Q 2017	-2.1	4.2	23	-0.1	6.2554	23	4.2%	-0.37 [-0.95, 0.21]	
Hu 2018	-2.17	1.7355	28	0	1.6472	26	4.2%	-1.26 [-1.85, -0.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			470			415	75.2%	-0.70 [-0.84, -0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	28.70, df:	= 16 (P =	0.03); F	<sup>2</sup> = 44%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 9.95 (	P < 0.000	01)						
Total (05% CI)			640			66.9	100.0%	0701002 050	▲
Total (95% CI)	26.74 46	22 (D	019	7 2000		222	100.0%	-0.70[-0.02, -0.38]	
Teet for everall offer to	35.74, 0T: 7 = 44,40	= ZZ (P = 70 - 0.00	0.03);1 0043	-= 38%					-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect.	∠≓11.49	(≓≤0.00 ≎⊳iz – 4.≎	001) Alaka 1	2 /D – 0 C	4) 17 - 00	v			Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
lest for subaroup all	erences: (	onn = 1.3	<ol> <li>ar = 1</li> </ol>	2 (P = 0.5	1). I* = U9	λo .			

Figure 3. Forest Plot Illustrating the Effect of Single Exercise Duration on Pain Relief in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis (KOA)

#### 3.4.2 Effect of Exercise Frequency on Pain Improvement in KOA Patients

Nineteen articles in this study reported the frequency of Tai Chi exercise, which was categorized into three dosage groups: 2 times per week, 3 times per week, and 5 times per week. The I<sup>2</sup>values within each subgroup were 48%, 58%, and 54%, respectively. For the subgroups with 3 times per week and 5 times per week, where I<sup>2</sup> > 50%, a random-effects model was adopted. For the subgroup with 2 times per week, where I<sup>2</sup> < 50%, a fixed-effects model was used based on the heterogeneity test. The results of the subgroup analysis showed that the standardized mean difference (SMD) values for the three dosage groups were -0.61, -0.47, and -1.00, respectively. The greatest improvement in pain symptoms was observed in KOA patients when the frequency of Tai Chi exercise was 5 times per week. See Figure 4 for details.

	Experimental		Control				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
3.1.1 2 次/week										
Wang, C 2009	-157.3	97.99	20	-38.45	97.99	20	3.1%	-1.19 [-1.87, -0.51]	-	
Song R 2009	-1.36	3.38	30	-0.48	2.53	39	6.2%	-0.30 [-0.78, 0.18]	•	
Lee H Y 2008	-2.41	4.1	22	1.29	3.44	24	3.7%	-0.96 [-1.58, -0.35]	•	
Lee HJ 2009	-2.2	4.1037	29	-0.2	3.5595	15	3.5%	-0.50 [-1.13, 0.13]	•	
Lee.H.A 2006	-4.89	4.0551	18	2.37	5.2703	16	2.3%	-1.52 [-2.30, -0.74]	-	
Lee, A 2017	-188.82	113.52	41	-138.68	115.6	34	6.7%	-0.43 [-0.89, 0.03]	•	
Hartman 2000	-1.1	1.9519	18	0.3	1.5524	15	2.8%	-0.77 [-1.48, -0.05]	-	
Fransen, M 2007	-9.6	15.5	56	-4.4	13.31	41	8.6%	-0.35 [-0.76, 0.05]	•	
Subtotal (95% CI)			234			204	36.8%	-0.61 [-0.81, -0.41]		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	13.42, df =	= 7 (P = 0	.06); I <sup>z</sup> :	= 48%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.10 (	P < 0.000	01)							
3.1.2 3 次/week										
Zhu Q 2017	-2.1	4.2	23	-0.1	6.2554	23	4.1%	-0.37 [-0.95, 0.21]	•	
Zhu, Q 2016	-3.31	4.3164	23	-0.28	5.4466	23	4.0%	-0.61 [-1.20, -0.01]	•	
Tsai, P. F 2013	-2.6	2.54	28	-1.02	1.68	27	4.7%	-0.72 [-1.27, -0.17]	•	
Song, R 2003	-2.45	3.9	22	0.61	5.1	21	3.7%	-0.66 [-1.28, -0.05]	•	
Song, J 2022	-6.67	3.5351	20	-1.53	3.941	20	2.9%	-1.35 [-2.04, -0.65]	-	
li liangtao 2019	-0.8	2.1517	54	-1.3	2.2271	53	9.8%	0.23 [-0.15, 0.61]	•	
Kang, N. 2022	-2.09	3.8887	12	-0.66	3.3857	15	2.4%	-0.38 [-1.15, 0.38]	•	
Hu XY vas 2020	-1.69	2.8582	52	-0.2	1.9137	40	7.9%	-0.59 [-1.01, -0.17]	•	
Brismée, J. M 2007	-2.12	6.4082	18	-1.34	4.2861	13	2.8%	-0.13 [-0.85, 0.58]	•	
Adler PA 2007	-47.9	65.101	8	-24	124.13	6	1.2%	-0.24 [-1.30, 0.83]	f	
Subtotal (95% CI)			260			241	43.6%	-0.41 [-0.59, -0.23]		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	21.50, df =	= 9 (P = 0	.01); I <sup>2</sup> :	= 58%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.46 (	P < 0.000	101)							
3.1.3 4-7 次/week										
Hu 2018	-2.17	1.7355	28	0	1.6472	26	4.1%	-1.26 [-1.85, -0.67]	•	
Zhang Hu 2017	-5.45	2.1318	33	-2.88	2.4418	32	5.1%	-1.11 [-1.63, -0.58]	•	
Wortley, M 2013	-28	123.41	12	-13	91.411	6	1.5%	-0.12 [-1.11, 0.86]	1	
Liu J 2019	-10.22	9.4	28	-0.9	3.24	24	3.9%	-1.27 [-1.87, -0.67]	•	
Li Jingya 2019	-83	76.725	30	-7	88.443	30	5.0%	-0.91 [-1.44, -0.37]	•	
Subtotal (95% CI)			131			118	19.5%	-1.05 [-1.32, -0.78]		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.74, df =	4 (P = 0.3	31); I² =	16%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.64 (	P < 0.000	101)							
Total (95% CI)			625			563	100.0%	-0.61 [-0.73, -0.49]		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	54.61, df=	= 22 (P =	0.0001	); I <sup>z</sup> = 609	6					1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.03	(P < 0.00	1001)						- 100 - 50 0 50 10	U
Test for subaroup diff	erences: (	Chi² = 14.	95. df=	2 (P = 0.	0006). I <sup>z</sup> :	= 86.69	Xo		Favours (experimental) Favours (control)	

Figure 4, Forest Plot of Exercise Frequency for Pain Improvement in KOA Patients

#### 3.4.3 Effect of Exercise Duration on Pain Improvement in KOA Patients

In this study, 21 articles reported on the duration of a single exercise cycle, categorizing them into five exercise duration doses: 9-10 weeks, 12 weeks, 13-20 weeks, and 24-36 weeks. The I<sup>2</sup>values within each subgroup were 75%, 0%, 77%, 33%, and 0%, respectively. For subgroups with I<sup>2</sup> > 50%, specifically for 6-8 weeks and 12 weeks, a random-effects model was adopted. For subgroups with I<sup>2</sup> < 50%, specifically for 9-10 weeks, 13-20 weeks, and 24-36 weeks, a fixed-effects model was used based on the heterogeneity test. The results showed that there was no statistical significance in pain improvement when the Tai Chi intervention duration was 6-8 weeks or 9-10 weeks. However, statistically significant improvements in pain were observed when the intervention duration was 12 weeks, 14-16 weeks and 24-28 weeks The effect sizes (SMD) for these durations were -0.7, -0.62, and -0.52, respectively. The greatest improvement in pain symptoms was observed in KOA patients when the exercise duration was 12 weeks. See Figure 5 for details.

	Ехр	erimenta	I	c	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
1.3.1 6-8week											
Lee.H.A 2006	-4.89	4.0551	18	2.37	5.2703	16	3.2%	-1.52 [-2.30, -0.74]			
Lee HJ 2009	-2.2	4.1037	29	-0.2	3.5595	15	3.9%	-0.50 [-1.13, 0.13]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			47			31	7.2%	-0.98 [-1.98, 0.01]			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.39; Chi	² = 3.99, o	¦f=1 (F	° = 0.05);	l² = 75%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.93 (	P = 0.05)									
1.3.2 9-10week											
Adler PA 2007	-47.9	65.101	8	-24	124.13	6	2.2%	-0.24 [-1.30, 0.83]			
Wortley, M 2013	-28	123.41	12	-13	91.411	6	2.4%	-0.12 [-1.11, 0.86]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			20			12	4.6%	-0.18 [-0.90, 0.54]			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.02, df = 1 (P = 0.88); i <sup>2</sup> = 0%											
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.48 (	P = 0.63)									
4 2 2 40week											
T.J.J 1Zweek Briomán I M 2007	242	6 4002	10	1.24	1 2064	10	2.60	0 1 2 1 0 05 0 501			
Eroncon M 2007	-2.12	0.4082	10	-1.34	4.2001	13	3.3% 5.3%	-0.13 [-0.83, 0.58]			
Fransen, Wi 2007	-9.0	10.0	20	-4.4	13.31	41	0.3%	-0.33 [-0.76, 0.03]			
	400.00	1.9019	10	400.00	1.0024	10	5.0%	-0.77 [-1.46, -0.03]			
Lee, A 2017	-100.02	113.32	41	-130.00	2.44	34	3.0%	-0.45 [-0.68, 0.05]			
Lee H 1 2006	-2.41	4.1	22	1.29	3.44	24	4.0%	-0.90[-1.00,-0.30]			
Timangtau zura	-0.0	2.1517	24	-1.3	2.2271	23	0.0%	0.23 [-0.13, 0.01]			
Ciu J 2019 Rong   2022	-10.22	9.4 0.6064	20	-0.9	3.24	24	4.170	-1.27 [-1.07,-0.07] 1.26 [ 2.04   0.66]			
Song D 2002	-0.07	3.3331	20	-1.00	5.941	20	3.070	-1.30 [-2.04, -0.00]			
50119, R 2003	-2.40	07.00	22	20.01	07.00	21	4.070	-0.00 [-1.20, -0.03]	<b>_</b> _		
Wang, C 2009 Wang, C 2016	-107.3	97.99	106	-30.40	100.00	20	3.770 6.104	-1.19[-1.07,-0.01]			
Wang, Cizuro Zhong Llu 2017	-107.2	119.5	100	-143	123.23	98	0.1%	-0.20 [-0.47, 0.08]			
Znang Hu Zul 7	-5.45	4 7055	33	-2.00	2.4410	32	4.070	-1.11[-1.03,-0.36]			
Flu 2010 Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.17	1.7300	473	0	1.0472	430	4.0%	-1.27 [-1.76, -0.75]	•		
Hotorogonoity Tou <sup>2</sup> -	0.22.06		47.J	0/0 ~ 0.00	0043-18-	- 770	57.0%	-0.70[-0.99, -0.40]	•		
Tect for overall effect:	7 - 4 59 /	– 02.03, P = 0.000	ui – 12 1013	: (F < 0.00	,001),1 -	- 7 7 70					
restion overall ellect.	Z = 4.00 (	F < 0.000	01)								
1.3.4 13-20week											
Li Jingva 2019	-83	76.725	30	-7	88.443	30	4.5%	-0.91 [-1.440.37]	(		
Song R 2009	-1.36	3.38	30	-0.48	2.53	39	4.8%	-0.30[-0.78, 0.18]			
Tsai, P. F 2013	-2.6	2.54	28	-1.02	1.68	27	4.4%	-0.72 [-1.270.17]	<b>_</b> _		
Subtotal (95% CI)			88			96	13.8%	-0.62 [-0.99, -0.26]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.03; Chi	<b>=</b> 2.98, c	: f = 2 (F	e = 0.23);	I <b>²</b> = 33%			• / •			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.34 (	P = 0.000	18)								
1.3.5 24-36week											
Hu XY vas 2020	-1.69	2.8582	52	-0.2	1.9137	40	5.2%	-0.59 [-1.01, -0.17]			
Kang, N. 2022	-2.09	3.8887	12	-0.66	3.3857	15	3.3%	-0.38 [-1.15, 0.38]			
Zhu, Q 2016	-3.31	4.3164	23	-0.28	5.4466	23	4.2%	-0.61 [-1.20, -0.01]			
Zhu Q 2017	-2.1	4.2	23	-0.1	6.2554	23	4.2%	-0.37 [-0.95, 0.21]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			110			101	16.9%	-0.52 [-0.79, -0.24]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.00; Chi	²= 0.57, c	#f = 3 (F	° = 0.90);	I² = 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.68 (	P = 0.000	12)								
T-4-1/05% CIN			720			676	400.0%	0.041.0.02.0.153			
rotal (95% CI)			738			670	100.0%	-0.64 [-0.83, -0.45]	▼		
Heterogeneity: I au* =	0.13; Chi 7 - 9 60 4	-= 63.57, D = 0.000	ut = 23	s (P < 0.01	101); I*=	04%		_	-2 -1 0 1 2		
Test for overall effect:	∠=0.62(	r < U.UUU o⊾a oo	101) 0.44		0) 17 00				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		
Test for subdroub diff	erences: I	∪rin= 2.6	3. QI = -	4 (P = U.6	2). If = 09	70					

Figure 5. Forest Plot of Exercise Duration for Pain Improvement in KOA Patients

### 3.5 Publication Bias

A publication bias test was conducted on the three studies included in this research, as shown in Figure

6. The observation revealed that there was no publication bias in this study.



Figure 6. Funnel Plots for Various Subgroups

#### 3.6 Sensitivity Analysis

During the Meta-analysis process, each study was sequentially excluded and then re-analyzed. None of the studies had a significant impact on the combined results, indicating that this study had good stability.

#### 4. Discussion

This study shows that regardless of the frequency or duration of exercise, Tai Chi can improve pain symptoms in patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA). However, the maximum benefit in pain improvement was observed when patients with KOA performed Tai Chi for 21-40 minutes per session, five times a week. This finding is consistent with the research results of Brossaeu [32], who recommended that patients with KOA should perform Tai Chi for 20-40 minutes each day. Additionally, this study found that when the exercise duration was extended to 60 minutes, the effect on pain improvement decreased. The research by TOrstensen<sup>[33]</sup> et al. showed that a 20-30-minute exercise intervention improved pain more than a 70-90-minute intervention, supporting this study's findings. The decrease in pain improvement benefit with prolonged single exercise duration may be due to the increased difficulty of the exercise, leading to a decrease in the exercise execution rate among patients with KOA, resulting in reduced pain improvement benefits <sup>[34]</sup>. Therefore, it is not recommended to extend the single exercise duration for patients with KOA when performing Tai Chi. Furthermore, the Chinese Guidelines for Primary Care Diagnosis, Treatment, and Rehabilitation of Knee Osteoarthritis also indicate that patients with KOA should engage in physical activity for 5 days a week <sup>[35]</sup>, which is consistent with the findings of this study. However, due to the limited number of studies included in this Meta-analysis that focused on Tai Chi exercise frequencies exceeding five times per week, it was not possible to determine the benefit of frequencies above this threshold on pain improvement in patients with KOA.

This study reveals that when the Tai Chi intervention duration was 9-10 weeks, there was no significant difference in pain improvement benefits compared to the control group. Wang Lidong et al. <sup>[36]</sup> found that when the Tai Chi exercise period was less than 12 weeks, there was no significant improvement in pain symptoms among patients with KOA, which is consistent with the findings of this study. However, there are currently few studies exploring the improvement of pain symptoms in patients with KOA through short-term Tai Chi exercise, and this study only included two related studies <sup>[37]</sup>. Therefore, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether short-duration Tai Chi exercise can improve pain symptoms in patients with KOA. Additionally, this study found that when the intervention duration was 12 weeks, patients experienced the maximum degree of pain improvement. After 12 weeks, the effect of Tai Chi on pain gradually decreased. A systematic review by Gon et al. <sup>[37]</sup> showed that the benefit of exercise intervention on pain improvement in patients with KOA peaked at 2 months and then gradually declined, which is similar to the findings of this study. Although the effect of Tai Chi on pain improvement decreased after 12 weeks, the decrease was not significant, and it still maintained a

moderate effect on pain improvement. This may be because long-term regular Tai Chi exercise has the effect of maintaining pain improvement. In other words, as the duration of Tai Chi exercise for patients with KOA increases, the effect of pain improvement is maintained, and further significant improvement in pain is not observed. Therefore, patients with KOA should perform Tai Chi for at least 12 weeks to obtain the maximum effect on pain improvement. At the same time, patients with KOA are encouraged to continue performing Tai Chi to maintain the improvement in pain.

In summary, this study explores the optimal exercise dosage of Tai Chi for improving pain in patients with KOA, aiming to provide evidence-based support for more precise Tai Chi intervention programs for patients. The recommended Tai Chi exercise prescription for patients with knee osteoarthritis is to perform Tai Chi five times a week, each session lasting 21-40 minutes, and continuing for 12 weeks to achieve the maximum benefit in pain improvement.

## References

- [1] Hinman, R. S., Hall, M., Comensoli, S., et al. Exercise & Sports Science Australia (ESSA) updated Position Statement on exercise and physical activity for people with hip/knee osteoarthritis. *J. Sci. Med. Sport*, 2023, 26(1), 37-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2022.11.003
- [2] Hu Tiancheng, An Bingchen, Zheng Jiejiao, et al. Study on the Occurrence and Development Patterns of Knee Osteoarthritis with Depression and Related Risk Factors. *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 2024, 39(2), 238-245.
- [3] Pan Jianke, Han Yanhong, Huang Hetao. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis with Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine (2023 Edition). *Chinese Journal of Orthopedics and Traumatology*, 2023, 35(6), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1260943
- [4] Zhang Xiaoyun, Zeng Hao, Meng Lin. Research Progress on the Pain Mechanism and Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. *Chinese Journal of Pain Medicine*, 2023, 29(1), 50-58.
- [5] Pesare E, Vicenti G, Kon E, et al. Italian Orthopaedic and Traumatology Society (SIOT) position statement on the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. J. Orthop. Traumatol. Off. J. Ital. Soc. Orthop. Traumatol., 2023, 24(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10195-023-00729-z
- [6] Sasaki R, Honda Y, Oga S, et al. Effect of exercise and/or educational interventions on physical activity and pain in patients with hip/knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *PloS One*, 2022, 17(11), e0275591. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275591
- [7] Orthopedic Surgery Branch of Chinese Medical Association, Osteoarthritis Study Group of Orthopedic Surgeons Branch of Chinese Medical Doctor Association, National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases (Xiangya Hospital), et al. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteoarthritis in China (2021 Edition). *Chinese Journal of Orthopedics*, 2021, 41(18).

- [8] Bannuru, R. R., Osani, M. C., Vaysbrot, E. E., et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 2019, 27(11), 1578-1589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.011
- [9] Ma Jianwen, Yue Hongyu, Xie Chaoqun, et al. Research Progress on the Clinical Application and Mechanism of Traditional Exercise Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis. *Shanghai Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, 2023, 57(12), 96-100.
- [10] Zhang Lingli, Ke Dandan, Wu Wei, et al. Effects of Tai Chi on Physical Fitness in Humans. *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, 2017, *37*(9), 2309-2312.
- [11] Song Qingyao, Yu Ying, Fan Xiaofei, et al. Meta-Analysis of the Dose-Response Relationship between Single Exercise Sessions and Executive Function in Children and Adolescents. *Chinese Mental Health Journal*, 2024, 38(2), 122-130.
- [12] Hartman, C. A., Manos, T. M., Winter, C., et al. Effects of T'ai Chi Training on Function and Quality of Life Indicators in Older Adults with Osteoarthritis. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc., 2000, 48(12), 1553-1559. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb03863.x
- [13] Song, R., Lee, E. O., Lam, P., et al. Effects of tai chi exercise on pain, balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. J. Rheumatol., 2003, 30(9), 2039-2044.
- [14] Patricia Ann Adler. The Effects of Tai Chi on Pain and Function in Older Adults with Osteoarthritis. Frances Payne Bolton School of Nursing, 2007.
- [15] Brismé, J. M., Paige, R. L., Chyu, M. C., et al. Group and home-based tai chi in elderly subjects with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Rehabil.*, 2007, 21(2), 99-111. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215506070505
- [16] Fransen, M., Nairn, L., Winstanley, J., et al. Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: A randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or Tai Chi classes. *Arthritis Care Res.*, 2007, 57(3), 407-414. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.22621
- [17] Lee, H. Y., Lee, K. J. Effects of Tai Chi exercise in elderly with knee osteoarthritis. *Taehan Kanho Hakhoe Chi*, 2008, 38(1), 11-18. https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2008.38.1.11
- [18] Song, R., Eam, A. Y., Lee, E. O., et al. Effects of Tai Chi combined with Self-help Program on Arthritic Symptoms and Fear of Falling in Women with Osteoarthritis. J. Muscle Jt. Health, 2009, 16.
- [19] Wang, C., Schmid, C. H., Hibberd, P. L., et al. Tai Chi is effective in treating knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum.*, 2009, 61(11), 1545-1553. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24832
- [20] Tsai, P. F., Chang, J. Y., Beck, C., et al. A pilot cluster-randomized trial of a 20-week Tai Chi program in elders with cognitive impairment and osteoarthritic knee: effects on pain and other health outcomes. J. Pain Symptom Manage., 2013, 45(4), 660-669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.04.009

- [21] Q. Z., L. H., X. W., et al. Effects of Tai Ji Quan training on gait kinematics in older Chinese women with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. J. Sport Health Sci., 2016, 5(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2016.02.003
- [22] Zhang Hu. The Effect of Tai Chi on Early Knee Osteoarthritis and the Exploration of Its Biomechanical Mechanisms. Shanghai: Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2017.
- [23] Zhu, Q., Huang, L., Wu, X., Zhang, Y., et al. Effect of Taijiquan practice versus wellness education on knee proprioception in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Tradit Chin Med.*, 2017 Dec, **37**(6), 774-781.
- [24] Lee, A. C., Harvey, W. F., Wong, J. B., et al. Effects of Tai Chi versus Physical Therapy on Mindfulness in Knee Osteoarthritis. *Mindfulness*, 2017, 8(5), 1195-1205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0692-3
- [25] Hu Kun. A Randomized Controlled Study on the Intervention Effects of Different Exercise Modes on Middle-aged and Elderly Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis in the Community. Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2018.
- [26] Hu, X., Lai, Z., & Wang, L. Effects of Taichi exercise on knee and ankle proprioception among individuals with knee osteoarthritis. *Res. Sports Med. Print*, 2020, 28(2), 268-278. https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2019.1663520
- [27] Kang, N., Wang, Y., Chen, G., et al. Functional outcomes of Tai Chi exercise prescription in women with knee osteoarthritis. *Sports Med. Health Sci.*, 2022, 4(4), 239-244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smhs.2022.10.001
- [28] Li Jingya, & Cheng Liang. Effects of Tai Chi and Resistance Training on Symptoms and Exercise Capacity in Elderly Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 2019, 34(11), 1304-1309.
- [29] Liu, J., Chen, L., Chen, X., et al. Modulatory effects of different exercise modalities on the functional connectivity of the periaqueductal grey and ventral tegmental area in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomised multimodal magnetic resonance imaging study. *Br. J. Anaesth.*, 2019, *123*(4), 506-518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2019.06.017
- [30] Li, L., Cheng, S., Wang, G., et al. Tai chi chuan exercises improve functional outcomes and quality of life in patients with primary total knee arthroplasty due to knee osteoarthritis. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.*, 2019, 35, 121-125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.02.003
- [31] Song, J., Wei, L., Cheng, K., et al. The Effect of Modified Tai Chi Exercises on the Physical Function and Quality of Life in Elderly Women With Knee Osteoarthritis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.*, 2022, 14, 860762. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.860762
- [32] Brosseau, L., Taki, J., Desjardins, B., et al. The Ottawa panel clinical practice guidelines for the management of knee osteoarthritis. Part one: introduction, and mind-body exercise programs. *Clin. Rehabil.*, 2017, *31*(5), 582-595. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215517691083

- [33] Ta, T., H. Ø., R. L., et al. High- Versus Low-Dose Exercise Therapy for Knee Osteoarthritis : A Randomized Controlled Multicenter Trial. Ann. Intern. Med., 2023, 176(2). https://doi.org/10.7326/P22-0029
- [34] Zhao Wanyue, Zhang Boyu, Sun Ting, et al. Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Exercise for Improving Frailty in Elderly People. *Chinese Journal of Prevention and Control of Chronic Diseases*, 2023, 31(11), 860-866. https://doi.org/10.5246/jcps.2022.11.073
- [35] Orthopedic Surgery Branch of Chinese Medical Association, Institute of Joint Diseases, Peking University. Guidelines for Primary Care, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Rehabilitation of Knee Osteoarthritis in China (2022 Edition). *Chinese Journal of Joint Surgery* (Electronic Version), 2022, 16(6), 651-658.
- [36] Wang Lidong, Xie Xun, Guo Ye, et al. Meta-Analysis of the Therapeutic Effects of Tai Chi Exercise on Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Journal of Sports Research and Education*, 2022, 37(1), 89-96.
- [37] Goh, S. L., Persson, M. S. M., Stocks, J., et al. Efficacy and potential determinants of exercise therapy in knee and hip osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.*, 2019, 62(5), 356-365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2019.04.006