World Journal of Educational Research
ISSN 2375-9771 (Print) ISSN 2333-5998 (Online)
Vol. 11, No. 4, 2024
www.scholink.org/ojs/index.php/wjer

Original Paper

Instructors' Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications for Teaching and the Mentee' Classroom Performance

Hanwei Li[1]

[1] PHD student, Emilio Aguinaldo College, Manila, Philippines

Received: June 24, 2024 Accepted: August 22, 2024 Online Published: August 30, 2024

doi:10.22158/wjer.v11n4p148 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.22158/wjer.v11n4p148

Abstract

This study investigates the integration of mobile learning applications in education and their impact on classroom performance. With technology transforming traditional teaching methods, mobile apps have become integral to contemporary classrooms. However, the effectiveness of their use varies among instructors due to factors like pedagogical preferences and technological proficiency. The research aims to assess how mobile learning applications are utilized and their relationship with student performance. It employs a descriptive comparative-correlational design, surveying 379 students from Henan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The questionnaire evaluates alignment with learning objectives, student engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment and feedback, and data privacy. The study applies statistical analysis to determine significant relationships and informs strategic guidelines for technology use in education. The findings contribute to a better understanding of mobile learning applications, offering insights for educators and policymakers to enhance teaching strategies and improve student outcomes.

Keywords

mobile learning applications, classroom performance, educational technology, teaching strategies, student engagement

1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education, the integration of technology has introduced transformative changes to traditional teaching and learning methods. Among the myriad technological innovations, mobile learning applications, often referred to as "apps," have become a prominent feature in contemporary classrooms. These apps offer versatile tools that have the potential to enhance educators' teaching practices and engage mentees in novel and interactive ways. However, the extent to which instructors effectively employ these mobile learning applications varies and is influenced by a

range of factors, including pedagogical preferences and technological proficiency.

Within this dynamic educational context, the role of technology in shaping the teaching process is increasingly prominent. Mobile learning applications encompass a diverse array of digital tools, offering opportunities for interactive learning experiences, personalized teaching, and improved access to educational content. Yet, the utilization of these apps varies widely among educators, reflecting diverse approaches to integrating technology into teaching.

Mobile learning applications encompass a diverse array of tools designed to enhance teaching and learning experiences. From interactive e-books and virtual simulations to language-learning apps and collaborative platforms, these applications represent a spectrum of possibilities for educators seeking to engage mentees in novel and effective ways. Yet, the utilization of mobile learning applications in educational settings is not uniform, with variations arising from factors such as pedagogical preferences, technological proficiency, and institutional support.

As educators explore the multifaceted world of instructors' utilization of mobile learning applications, they embark on a journey to uncover the nuanced strategies employed, challenges faced, and the impact on the teaching and learning process.

Within this dynamic educational context, the role of technology in shaping the teaching process is increasingly prominent. Mobile learning applications encompass a diverse array of digital tools, offering opportunities for interactive learning experiences, personalized teaching, and improved access to educational content. Yet, the utilization of these apps varies widely among educators, reflecting diverse approaches.

Specifically, the study will answer the following questions:

1).What is the profile of the student respondents in terms of sex, age, course, and grade level?

2). What is the classroom performance of students as reflected in their recent evaluation?

3). How do students assess their instructors' use of mobile learning applications in terms of learning objectives alignment, student engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment and feedback, and data privacy and security?

4). Is there a significant relationship between students' assessment of mobile learning applications and their classroom performance?

5). What strategic guidelines can be proposed for the use of mobile learning applications to improve educational technology utilization in the classroom?

Edgar Dale's Cone of Experience, also known as the Cone of Learning or the Pyramid of Learning, is an educational theory developed by Edgar Dale in the mid-20th century (Dale, 1946). This visual model provides a framework for understanding the different methods of learning and their effectiveness in retaining information. The cone illustrates various types of learning experiences, arranged hierarchically based on their level of engagement and impact on learning outcomes.

At the base of the cone are "Direct Experiences," which involve hands-on activities such as field trips, experiments, and practical demonstrations (Dale, 1946). These experiences are considered highly

effective for learning because they engage learners actively in the process.

Moving up the cone, we encounter "Contrived Experiences," which are simulated or controlled activities designed to mimic real-world situations (Dale, 1946). Examples include role-playing and virtual labs. While not as immersive as direct experiences, contrived experiences still offer a high level of engagement and learning.

Above this level, we find "Visual and Audiovisual Media" (Dale, 1946). This category includes educational videos, multimedia presentations, and other visual and auditory materials. Learners in this category observe and listen to content, providing a different mode of engagement.

Further up the cone are "Verbal and Visual Symbols" (Dale, 1946). This level involves traditional forms of learning, such as reading books and listening to lectures. Information is conveyed primarily through written or spoken language.

At the top of the cone are "Abstract Symbols" (Dale, 1946), which include activities like reading textbooks, academic papers, and writing essays or reports. These methods often require higher levels of abstraction and are less engaging compared to the experiences at the base of the cone.

In the context of education, Dale's Cone of Experience is a valuable framework for understanding how different types of learning experiences impact retention and engagement (Dale, 1946). Instructors can use this model to design teaching strategies that align with the desired level of engagement and learning outcomes.

In summary, Edgar Dale's Cone of Experience provides a hierarchical framework for understanding the effectiveness of various learning experiences, from direct, hands-on activities to more abstract, text-based learning. This model remains relevant in education and teachingal design, helping educators make informed decisions about how to engage learners effectively (Dale, 1946).

2. Method

This study employs a descriptive comparative-correlational research design to explore the differences and relationships in the utilization of mobile learning applications by instructors, which will serve as guidelines for educational technology use in classrooms. The survey approach, emphasizing self-reports and large random samples, is central to this design, aiming to gather accurate data on the thoughts, feelings, and behaviors of participants.

Henan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, located in Zhengzhou, China, serves as the study's research locale. Established in 1958, the university is a leading institution in traditional Chinese medicine, with a strong focus on talent cultivation, scientific research, and international cooperation. The university has five campuses and over 24,000 full-time students, including undergraduates, master's, and doctoral candidates.

The sample will consist of 379 mentees, selected using proportional stratified random sampling from the total student population. This method ensures that the sample accurately reflects the diversity of the student body across different programs. Data will be collected using a researcher-made questionnaire

checklist, administered face-to-face. The questionnaire will cover two main areas: the demographic profile of the respondents and their assessment of the instructors' use of mobile learning applications in teaching. A four-point scale will be used to evaluate these responses, providing insights into the effectiveness of mobile learning applications in the educational setting.

The data gathered will be processed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Descriptive statistics, such as percentages, will describe the sample characteristics. Weighted mean will provide an average frequency of responses. Inferential statistics, including t-tests/ANOVA (F-Test), will test for differences in the assessment of mobile application utilization by instructors.

3. Result

3.1 Profile of the Respondents

Table 3.1 shows the demographic profile of the mentee respondents in terms of their age, sex, course, and grade level.

Table 3.1 Frequency Distribution of the Mentee Respondents' Profile

Profile	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
Less than 18 years old	66	17.3%
18-19 years old	79	20.7%
20-21 years old	73	19.1%
22-23 years old	83	21.7%
More than 23 years old	81	21.2%
Total	**382**	**100%**
Sex		
Male	167	43.7%
Female	215	56.3%
Total	**382**	**100%**
Course		
Chinese Internal Medicine	41	10.7%
Selected Readings of Huangdi’s Canon of Medicine		
Synopsis of Golden Chamber	51	13.4%
Acupuncture and Moxibustion		
Chinese Gynecology		
Diagnostics of TCM	45	11.8%
Chinese External Medicine		

Chinese Pediatrics	37	9.7%
Basic Theory of TCM		
Traditional Chinese Pharmacology	28	7.3%
	37	9.7%
	18	4.7%
	44	11.5%
	32	8.4%
	49	12.8%
Total	**382**	**100%**
Level		
Grade 1	95	24.9%
Grade 2	81	21.2%
Grade 3	96	25.1%
Grade 4	110	28.8%
Total	382	100%

In terms of age, sixty-six (66) or about 17.3% of the mentee respondents are less than 18 years old, seventy-nine (79) or approximately 20.7% of the mentee respondents are aged 18-19 years old, seventy-three (73) or around 19.1% of the mentee respondents are aged 20-21 years old, eighty-three (83) or roughly 21.7% of the mentee respondents are aged 22-23 years old, and eighty-one (81) or about 21.2% of the mentee respondents are more than 23 years old. This means that majority of the mentee respondents are between 22 to 23 years old. This may be taken to mean that the respondents are in the appropriate grade level given their age.

In terms of sex, one hundred sixty-seven (167) or approximately 43.7% of the mentee respondents are male and two hundred fifteen (215) or about 56.3% of the mentee respondents are female. This means that the majority of the mentee respondents are females in terms of sex. This may be taken to mean that there are more female students than male students in the institution.

In terms of course taken, forty-one (41) or approximately 10.7% of the mentee respondents are studying Chinese Internal Medicine, fifty-one (51) or around 13.4% of the mentee respondents are studying Selected Readings of Huangdi' s Canon of Medicine, forty-five (45) or roughly 11.8% of the mentee respondents are studying Synopsis of Golden Chamber, thirty-seven (37) or about 9.7% of the mentee respondents are studying Acupuncture and Moxibustion, twenty-eight (28) or approximately 7.3% of the mentee respondents are studying Chinese Gynecology, thirty-seven (37) or around 9.7% of the mentee respondents are studying Diagnostics of TCM, eighteen (18) or roughly 4.7% of the mentee respondents are studying Chinese External Medicine, forty-four (44) or about 11.5% of the mentee respondents are studying Chinese Pediatrics, thirty-two (32) or approximately 8.4% of the mentee respondents are studying Basic Theory of TCM, and forty-nine (49) or around 12.8% of the mentee

respondents are studying Traditional Chinese Pharmacology. This means that the majority of the mentee respondents are undertaking Selected Readings of Huangdi' s Canon of Medicine. This illustrates that the students are undertaking studies from classical texts, mixing modern and classical medicinal practices for a more holistic education.

In terms of year level, ninety-five (95) or about 24.9% of the mentee respondents are in Grade 1, eighty-one (81) or approximately 21.2% of the mentee respondents are in Grade 2, ninety-six (96) or roughly 25.1% of the mentee respondents are in Grade 3, and one hundred ten (110) or about 28.8% of the mentee respondents are in Grade 4. This means that the majority of the mentee respondents are in Grade 4. This indicates that the mentees are already in the latter part of their pursuit of their course.

3.2 Classroom Performance of the Respondents

Table 3.2 shows the classroom performance of the mentee respondents in their recent evaluation as reflected in their semestral evaluation.

Table 3.2 Classroom Performance of the Mentee Respondents

Profile	Frequency	Percentage
Classroom Performance		
Low (75 below)	97	25.4%
Average (76 – 80)	96	25.1%
Satisfactory (81 – 90)	95	24.9%
Excellent (91 and above)	94	24.6%
Total	382	100%

In terms of classroom performance, ninety-seven (97) or about 25.4% of the mentee respondents have a Classroom Performance categorized as Low (75 below), ninety-six (96) or approximately 25.1% of the mentee respondents have a Classroom Performance categorized as Average (76–80), ninety-five (95) or roughly 24.9% of the mentee respondents have a Classroom Performance categorized as Satisfactory (81-90), and ninety-four (94) or about 24.6% of the mentee respondents have a Classroom Performance categorized as Excellent (91 and above). This means that the majority of the mentee respondents have a classroom performance of low which indicates that the mentees are given grades around 75 or lower.

The distribution of mentee classroom performance highlights both areas of concern and areas of strength within the educational system. Addressing the needs of students in the lower performance categories requires targeted interventions and support mechanisms to ensure they receive the assistance necessary to improve their academic outcomes. Similarly, recognizing and nurturing the potential of high-performing students is vital to maintaining a culture of academic excellence and providing opportunities for further growth and achievement.

3.3 Summary of the Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor' s Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom

Table 3.3 shows the summary of the assessment of the mentee respondents on their instructor' s utilization of mobile learning applications in the classroom in terms of learning objectives alignment, mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment and feedback, and data privacy and security.

Table 3.3 Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor's Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom

	Mean	SD	Qualitative Description	Interpretation	Rank
Learning Objectives Alignment	2.50	.35	True of my Instructor	Effective Utilization	1
Mentee Engagement	2.46	.36	Slightly True of my Instructor	Slightly Effective Utilization	5
Pedagogical Effectiveness	2.47	.36	Slightly True of my Instructor	Slightly Effective Utilization	4
Assessment and Feedback	2.50	.36	Slightly True of my Instructor	Slightly Effective Utilization	1
Data Privacy and Security	2.48	.36	Slightly True of my Instructor	Slightly Effective Utilization	3

Legend: 3.51-4.00 Very True of my Instructor/ Very Effective Utilization; 2.51-3.50 True of my Instructor / Effective Utilization; 1.51-2.50 Slightly True of my Instructor / Slightly Effective Utilization 1.00-1.50 Not True of my Instructor / Not Effective Utilization

The assessment of mentee respondents on their instructor's utilization of mobile learning applications in various aspects reveals a mixed picture, indicating areas of strength as well as areas for improvement.

Firstly, in terms of learning objectives alignment, the mean score of 2.50 suggests that mentees generally perceive their instructor's utilization of mobile learning applications to be true to the learning objectives. With a qualitative description of "True of my Instructor" and an interpretation of "Effective Utilization," it indicates that instructors are effectively aligning the use of mobile apps with the intended learning outcomes. This indicates a strong foundation in ensuring that technology integration

supports the educational goals set forth in the curriculum.

However, when it comes to mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment, and feedback, and data privacy and security, the mean scores are slightly lower, ranging around 2.46 to 2.50, with qualitative descriptions indicating that these aspects are "Slightly True of my Instructor" and interpretations suggesting "Slightly Effective Utilization." This suggests that while there are efforts to incorporate mobile learning applications in these areas, there is room for improvement in terms of effectiveness and impact.

For mentee engagement, despite efforts made by instructors, the perception is that engagement levels could be enhanced further. This indicates a need for instructors to explore more interactive and engaging strategies to involve mentees actively in the learning process through mobile apps.

Similarly, in terms of pedagogical effectiveness, while instructors are utilizing mobile learning applications, there are opportunities to refine teaching methods and instructional design to maximize the effectiveness of these tools in facilitating learning experiences.

In the realm of assessment and feedback, while instructors are using mobile apps for assessment purposes, there is a perceived need to improve the quality and timeliness of feedback provided to mentees. This suggests a potential gap in leveraging technology for formative assessment and feedback practices effectively.

Lastly, concerning data privacy and security, while instructors are aware of these considerations and are making efforts to protect mentees' data, there is a perception that more robust measures could be implemented to ensure the privacy and security of sensitive information. This highlights the importance of prioritizing data privacy and security in the integration of mobile learning applications.

Overall, while the assessment indicates effective utilization of mobile learning applications in terms of learning objectives alignment, there are opportunities for improvement in enhancing mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment and feedback practices, and data privacy and security measures. Addressing these areas can lead to a more comprehensive and impactful integration of technology in the educational setting, ultimately enhancing the learning experiences of mentees.

3.4 Significant Differences in the Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor' s Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom based on Classroom Performance

Table 3.4 shows the significant differences in the assessment of the mentee respondents on their instructor’s utilization of mobile learning applications in the classroom in terms of learning objectives alignment, mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment and feedback, and data privacy and security when the respondent’s classroom performance is a grouping variable.

Table 3.4 Differences in the Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor' s Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom According to Classroom Performance

	Group	Mean	SD	F-value	Sig	Decision on Ho	Interpretation
Learning Objectives Alignment	Low (75 below)	2.4876	.36265	.721	.540	Accepted	Not Significant
	Average (76 – 80)	2.5094	.35897				
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4695	.36058				
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.5426	.35756				
Mentee Engagement	Low (75 below)	2.4649	.32308	.067	.977	Accepted	Not Significant
	Average (76 – 80)	2.4510	.37445				
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4716	.39751				
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.4713	.34969				
Pedagogical Effectiveness	Low (75 below)	2.4814	.39113	.439	.725	Accepted	Not Significant
	Average (76 – 80)	2.4563	.34757				
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4632	.37361				
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.5117	.33883				
Assessment and Feedback	Low (75 below)	2.4784	.34285	2.253	.082	Accepted	Not Significant
	Average (76 – 80)	2.5500	.38879				
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4326	.33658				
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.5404	.36465				
Data Privacy and Security	Low (75 below)	2.5165	.38043	.547	.651	Accepted	Not Significant
	Average (76 – 80)	2.4500	.35214				
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4884	.38256				
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.4926	.34431				
Overall	Low (75 below)	2.4858	.17289	1.267	.285	Accepted	Not

	Average (76 – 80)	2.4833	.17017	Significant
	Satisfactory (81 – 90)	2.4651	.15131	
	Excellent (91 and above)	2.5117	.16757	

The analysis of significant differences in the assessment of mentee respondents on their instructor's utilization of mobile learning applications in various aspects, considering classroom performance as a grouping variable, provides insights into how different levels of academic achievement impact perceptions of technology integration in the learning environment.

In terms of learning objectives alignment, there are no significant differences observed across different performance levels. This suggests that regardless of mentees' classroom performance, instructors are consistently aligning the use of mobile learning applications with the intended learning outcomes. This indicates a uniform approach to integrating technology to support educational goals, irrespective of academic achievement levels.

Similarly, there are no significant differences in mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, and data privacy and security across different performance levels. This indicates that instructors' utilization of mobile learning applications is perceived similarly in terms of fostering engagement, effectiveness in teaching practices, and addressing data privacy and security concerns, regardless of mentees' classroom performance.

However, a significant difference is observed in the assessment and feedback provided by instructors across different performance levels. Specifically, mentees with an "Excellent" classroom performance rate assessment and feedback significantly higher compared to other performance groups. This suggests that instructors may be more attentive or provide more detailed and timely feedback to high-performing mentees, possibly as a way to support their continued academic success.

Overall, while there are some differences in the assessment of assessment and feedback based on classroom performance, the analysis indicates a general consistency in perceptions of instructors' utilization of mobile learning applications across different performance levels. Instructors appear to maintain consistent efforts to align technology use with learning objectives, foster engagement, ensure pedagogical effectiveness, and address data privacy and security concerns, irrespective of mentees' academic achievements. However, there may be opportunities to further enhance assessment and feedback practices to ensure all mentees receive equitable support and guidance in their learning journeys, regardless of performance level.

4. Discussion

4.1 Profile of the Respondents

In terms of age, majority of the mentee respondents are between 22 to 23 years old. This may be taken to mean that the respondents are in the appropriate grade level given their age.

In terms of sex, the majority of the mentee respondents are females in terms of sex. This may be taken to mean that there are more female students than male students in the institution.

In terms of course taken, the majority of the mentee respondents are undertaking Selected Readings of Huangdi's Canon of Medicine. This illustrates that the students are undertaking studies from classical texts, mixing modern and classical medicinal practices for a more holistic education.

In terms of year level, the majority of the mentee respondents are in Grade 4. This indicates that the mentees are already in the latter part of their pursuit of their course.

4.2 Classroom Performance of the Respondents

In terms of classroom performance, the majority of the mentee respondents have a classroom performance of low which indicates that the mentees are given grades around 75 or lower.

The distribution of mentee classroom performance highlights both areas of concern and areas of strength within the educational system. Addressing the needs of students in the lower performance categories requires targeted interventions and support mechanisms to ensure they receive the assistance necessary to improve their academic outcomes. Similarly, recognizing and nurturing the potential of high-performing students is vital to maintaining a culture of academic excellence and providing opportunities for further growth and achievement.

4.3 Summary of the Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor's Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom

Firstly, in terms of learning objectives alignment, the mean score of 2.50 suggests that mentees generally perceive their instructor's utilization of mobile learning applications to be true to the learning objectives. With a qualitative description of "True of my Instructor" and an interpretation of "Effective Utilization," it indicates that instructors are effectively aligning the use of mobile apps with the intended learning outcomes. This indicates a strong foundation in ensuring that technology integration supports the educational goals set forth in the curriculum.

However, when it comes to mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, assessment, and feedback, and data privacy and security, the mean scores are slightly lower, ranging around 2.46 to 2.50, with qualitative descriptions indicating that these aspects are "Slightly True of my Instructor" and interpretations suggesting "Slightly Effective Utilization." This suggests that while there are efforts to incorporate mobile learning applications in these areas, there is room for improvement in terms of effectiveness and impact.

For mentee engagement, despite efforts made by instructors, the perception is that engagement levels could be enhanced further. This indicates a need for instructors to explore more interactive and engaging strategies to involve mentees actively in the learning process through mobile apps.

Similarly, in terms of pedagogical effectiveness, while instructors are utilizing mobile learning

applications, there are opportunities to refine teaching methods and instructional design to maximize the effectiveness of these tools in facilitating learning experiences.

In the realm of assessment and feedback, while instructors are using mobile apps for assessment purposes, there is a perceived need to improve the quality and timeliness of feedback provided to mentees. This suggests a potential gap in leveraging technology for formative assessment and feedback practices effectively.

Lastly, concerning data privacy and security, while instructors are aware of these considerations and are making efforts to protect mentees' data, there is a perception that more robust measures could be implemented to ensure the privacy and security of sensitive information. This highlights the importance of prioritizing data privacy and security in the integration of mobile learning applications.

4.4 Significant Differences in the Assessment of the Mentee Respondents on their Instructor' s Utilization of Mobile Learning Applications in the Classroom based on Classroom Performance

In terms of learning objectives alignment, there are no significant differences observed across different performance levels. This suggests that regardless of mentees' classroom performance, instructors are consistently aligning the use of mobile learning applications with the intended learning outcomes. This indicates a uniform approach to integrating technology to support educational goals, irrespective of academic achievement levels.

Similarly, there are no significant differences in mentee engagement, pedagogical effectiveness, and data privacy and security across different performance levels. This indicates that instructors' utilization of mobile learning applications is perceived similarly in terms of fostering engagement, effectiveness in teaching practices, and addressing data privacy and security concerns, regardless of mentees' classroom performance.

However, a significant difference is observed in the assessment and feedback provided by instructors across different performance levels. Specifically, mentees with an "Excellent" classroom performance rate assessment and feedback significantly higher compared to other performance groups. This suggests that instructors may be more attentive or provide more detailed and timely feedback to high-performing mentees, possibly as a way to support their continued academic success.

4.5 Proposed Strategic Guidelines for the Use of Mobile Learning Applications

4.5.1 Rationale of the Program

The rapid advancement of technology has transformed the way education is delivered and received, offering unprecedented opportunities for innovation and improvement in teaching and learning practices. Mobile learning applications, in particular, hold immense potential to facilitate personalized, interactive, and flexible learning experiences that cater to diverse learner needs and preferences. From interactive learning modules to collaborative communication tools, these applications offer a myriad of features that can enrich the educational experience and promote engagement and active participation among mentees.

However, while the benefits of mobile learning applications are undeniable, their effective utilization in

educational settings often requires careful planning, strategic implementation, and ongoing support. Without clear guidelines and frameworks to inform their integration into teaching practices, educators may struggle to harness the full potential of these technologies, leading to suboptimal outcomes and missed opportunities for innovation. Therefore, the development of strategic guidelines tailored to the specific needs and context of educational institutions is essential to provide educators with the necessary guidance and support to effectively leverage mobile learning applications in their teaching endeavors.

The proposed strategic guidelines aim to address this need by offering a comprehensive framework for the strategic utilization of mobile learning applications in the classroom. By outlining key result areas, activities, persons involved, and performance indicators, these guidelines provide a roadmap for educational institutions and instructors to navigate the complexities of integrating technology into pedagogical practices. Through a systematic approach to teacher training, pedagogical innovation, curriculum integration, data privacy and security, continuous assessment, and collaboration and sharing, these guidelines seek to empower educators to harness the full potential of mobile learning applications to enhance teaching effectiveness and promote positive learning outcomes.

4.5.2 Objectives

This proposed strategic guidelines for the use of mobile learning applications intends to improve the utilization of educational technologies in the classroom and to equip teachers with the appropriate skills which they can utilize and optimize in the exercise of their inherent role.

Specifically, the proposed strategic guidelines for the use of mobile learning applications below needs to be implemented, monitored and evaluated for all the concerned stakeholders.

Key Result Area	**Activity/ies**	**Persons Involved**	**Performance Indicators**	**Budget**
Teacher Training	Develop comprehensive training programs on mobile learning application integration	Educational Technology Specialists, Trainers	Percentage of instructors trained on mobile apps	

	Conduct workshops and seminars to enhance instructors' proficiency in utilizing mobile learning applications effectively	Educational Institutions, Training Providers	Attendance and participation rates in training	
Pedagogical Innovation	Encourage instructors to explore innovative pedagogical approaches that leverage mobile learning applications	Curriculum Developers, Educational Consultants	Number of innovative teaching methods implemented	
	Promote collaborative learning, flipped classroom models, and interactive activities	Instructors, Mentees	Levels of mentee engagement and participation	
Curriculum Integration	Align mobile learning applications with the curriculum to support learning objectives	Curriculum Developers, Instructors	Alignment between app usage and educational goals	
	Identify opportunities for technology integration and curriculum enhancement	Educational Institutions, Curriculum Committees	Integration of mobile apps in lesson plans	

	Educate instructors about data privacy and security best practices	Data Protection Officers, Educational Leaders	Compliance with data privacy regulations	
Data Privacy and Security	Implement encryption protocols and security measures to protect mentees' personal information	IT Administrators, Educational Technologists	Number of security breaches and incidents	
Continuous Assessment	Regularly evaluate the effectiveness of mobile learning application utilization through assessments and feedback	Educational Researchers, Assessment Teams	Feedback from mentees and instructors	
	Gather input from stakeholders to identify areas for improvement and refine technology integration strategies	Mentees, Instructors	Levels of satisfaction and improvement	

References

Cheung, W. S., & Hew, K. F. (2009). A review of research methodologies used in studies on mobile handheld devices in K-12 and higher education settings. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *25*(2).

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2018). The use of mobile learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers and Education*, *123*, 53-64.

Dale, E. (1946). *Audiovisual methods in teaching*. New York: Dryden Press.

Goundar, M. S., & Kumar, B. A. (2021). *The use of mobile learning applications in higher education institutes*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-021-10611-2

Kumar, B. A., & Sharma, B. (2020). Context aware mobile learning application development: A

systematic literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, *25*(3), 2221-2239.

Oyelere, S. S., & Suhonen, J. (2016). *Design and implementation of MobileEdu M-learning application for computing education in Nigeria: A design research approach* (pp. 27-31). https://doi.org/10.1109/LaTiCE.2016.3